# Locally Restricted Colorings of Graphs

Sanming Zhou<sup>\*</sup> Department of Mathematics and Statistics The University of Melbourne Parkville, VIC 3010, Australia email:*smzhou@ms.unimelb.edu.au* 

#### Abstract

Let G be a simple graph and f a function from the vertices of G to the set of positive integers. An (f, n)-coloring of G is an assignment of n colors to the vertices of G such that each vertex x is adjacent to less than f(x) vertices with the same color as x. The minimum n such that an (f, n)-coloring of G exists is defined to be the fchromatic number of G. In this paper, we address a study of this kind of locally restricted coloring.

# 1 Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to address a study of the following generalized coloring for graphs. Let G = (V(G), E(G)) be a simple graph and let  $f: V(G) \to \mathbb{N}$  be a function from the vertices of G to the set  $\mathbb{N}$  of positive integers. A subset X of V(G) is said to be an *f*-independent set [14] if each  $x \in X$  is adjacent to less than f(x) vertices in X. A partition of V(G) into n (color) classes each is an *f*-independent set of G is said to be an (f, n)-coloring of G (or an *f*-coloring of G if the number n of colors used is of less importance in the context). We define the *f*-chromatic number of G, denoted by  $\chi_f(G)$ , to be the minimum integer n such that an (f, n)-coloring of G exists.

<sup>\*</sup>A preliminary form of this paper was written when the author was with Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China.

<sup>1</sup> 

This locally restricted coloring is one kind of conditional coloring (see e.g. [6]) for graphs and is closely related to the following existing coloring models. We notice first that, in the case where f = k + 1 is a constant function, for an integer  $k \ge 0$ , an (f, n)-coloring is a partition of V(G)into n classes each induces a subgraph of maximum degree at most k, and in this case we denote  $\chi_f(G)$  by  $\chi_{k+1}(G)$ . This coloring model, known as defective coloring [4],  $(n, k)^{\Delta}$ -coloring [5] and  $(n, k)^*$ -coloring [13] in the literature, received extensive study in recent years. For a set C of n colors and a function  $g: V(G) \times C \to \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , Woodall [13, Section 5] studied the coloring  $c: V(G) \to C$  such that each  $x \in V(G)$  is adjacent to at most g(x, c(x)) vertices with the same color c(x) as itself. If, for each  $x \in V(G)$ , g(x, i)+1 = f(x) is independent of the choice of  $i \in C$ , then such a coloring c is precisely an (f, n)-coloring of G defined above.

We start this paper with two examples in the next section. In Section 3, we will use some known results to derive two upper bounds for  $\chi_f(G)$ : The first one is a natural generalization of Welsh-Powell bound for the ordinary chromatic number  $\chi(G)$ , whilst the second one bears some similarity with Brooks theorem. In Section 4, we will concentrate on a study of the 2chromatic number  $\chi_2(G)$ , which is of particular interest since each color class of a 2-coloring induces a subgraph consisting of independent vertices and independent edges.

Throughout the paper we always use G to denote a simple graph with p = p(G) vertices and q = q(G) edges. We use  $\overline{G}$  to denote the complement graph of G and G[X] to denote the subgraph of G induced by a subset  $X \subseteq V(G)$ . The degree in G of a vertex  $x \in V(G)$  is denoted by  $d_G(x)$  (or just d(x) if no ambiguity exists), and the maximum degree of vertices of G is denoted by  $\Delta(G)$ . An f-coloring of G using  $\chi_f(G)$  colors is said to be a minimum f-coloring. Clearly, if we define  $f^*(x) = \min\{f(x), d(x) + 1\}$  for  $x \in V(G)$ , then  $\chi_{f^*}(G) = \chi_f(G)$  and  $f^*$  is a proper function relative to G in the sense that  $1 \leq f^*(x) \leq d(x)+1$  for all  $x \in V(G)$ . This indicates that we can restrict to proper functions f in the study of f-chromatic number. (However, this is not assumed in the following unless stated otherwise.) For a real number  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , we denote by  $\lfloor a \rfloor$  and  $\lceil a \rceil$ , respectively, the largest integer no more than a and the smallest integer no less than a. For other

undefined terminologies for graphs, the reader is referred to [7].

# 2 Examples

For a sequence  $\ell_1 \geq \cdots \geq \ell_p$  of positive integers, denote by  $n(\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_p)$  the smallest integer n such that there exists a sequence  $0 = i_0 < i_1 < \cdots < i_n = p$  with  $i_t - i_{t-1} \leq \ell_{i_t}$  for  $1 \leq t \leq n$ . The following example determines the f-chromatic number of the complete graph  $K_p$  on p vertices.

**Example 1** Suppose f is a proper function relative to  $K_p$  and let the integers  $f(x), x \in V(K_p)$ , be ordered in a non-decreasing sequence  $\ell_1 \geq \cdots \geq \ell_p$ . Then

$$\chi_f(K_p) = n(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_p)$$

**Proof** Let  $x_1 \prec \cdots \prec x_p$  be an order of the vertices of  $K_p$  with  $f(x_i) = \ell_i$ for  $1 \leq i \leq p$ . Let  $m(X) = \min_{x \in X} f(x)$  for  $X \subseteq V(K_p)$ . Let  $\pi = \{V_1, \ldots, V_n\}$  be an (f, n)-coloring of  $K_p$  and set  $i_t = |V_1| + \cdots + |V_t|$  for  $1 \leq t \leq n$ . Without loss of generality we may suppose that  $m(V_1) \geq \cdots \geq m(V_n)$ . Then, since each  $V_t$  is an f-independent set of  $K_p$ , we have  $i_t - i_{t-1} = |V_t| \leq m(V_t)$  for  $1 \leq t \leq n$ , where we set  $i_0 = 0$ . Let  $X_t = \{x_{i_{t-1}+1}, \ldots, x_{i_t}\}$  for  $1 \leq t \leq n$  (note that  $i_n = p$ ). Then one can see that  $\ell_{i_t} = m(X_t) \geq m(V_t) \geq i_t - i_{t-1}$  for  $1 \leq t \leq n$  and hence each  $X_t$  is an f-independent set of  $K_p$ . Therefore,  $\{X_1, \ldots, X_n\}$  is an (f, n)-coloring of  $K_p$  using the same number of colors as  $\pi$ .

Conversely, for any sequence  $0 = i_0 < i_1 < \cdots < i_n = p$  with  $i_t - i_{t-1} \leq \ell_{i_t}$  for  $1 \leq t \leq n$ , the partition  $\{X_1, \ldots, X_n\}$  defined by  $X_t = \{x_{i_{t-1}+1}, \ldots, x_{i_t}\}$ , for  $1 \leq t \leq n$ , is an (f, n)-coloring of  $K_p$ . Hence the result follows immediately from the definition of  $n(\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_p)$ .  $\Box$ 

Let  $K_{\ell_1,\ldots,\ell_m}$  be the complete *m*-partite graph with  $\ell_i$  vertices in the *i*th part of the *m*-partition. The determination of  $\chi_f(K_{\ell_1,\ldots,\ell_m})$  for a general proper function *f* seems to be more complicated. We have the following example for the 2-chromatic number of  $K_{\ell_1,\ldots,\ell_m}$ .

**Example 2** Let  $s = |\{i : \ell_i = 1, 1 \le i \le m\}|$ . Then

$$\chi_2(K_{\ell_1,\ldots,\ell_m}) = m - \left\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

1	^	

**Proof** Let  $\{X_1, \ldots, X_m\}$  be the *m*-partition of  $G = K_{\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_m}$ . Let  $\pi =$  $\{V_1,\ldots,V_n\}$  be a minimum 2-coloring of G. Denote  $J_i = \{j: V_i \cap X_j \neq j\}$  $\emptyset, 1 \leq j \leq m\}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Then  $1 \leq |J_i| \leq 2$  since otherwise  $G[V_i]$  $n: |J_i| = 2$ , and call  $V_i$  a first type color class (second type color class, respectively) if  $i \in I_1$  ( $i \in I_2$ , respectively). Then any second type color class  $V_i$  contains exactly one vertex from each  $X_j$  with  $j \in J_i$  and hence  $|V_i| = 2$ . We choose  $\pi$  such that it contains the minimum number  $|I_2|$  of second type color classes. Then there exists no j such that  $j \in J_{i_1} \cap J_{i_2}$  for some  $i_1 \in I_1$  and  $i_2 \in I_2$ . Suppose otherwise, then we can replace  $V_{i_1}$  by the whole  $X_i$  and delete all the possible vertices of  $X_i$  from each  $V_i$  with  $i \in I_2$ . In this way we get another minimum 2-coloring of G with fewer second type color classes, a contradiction. Thus, for each  $1 \leq j \leq m$ , either  $X_j$  is a first type color class of  $\pi$ , or each vertex of  $X_j$  is contained in a second type color class. We claim that each  $X_j$  with  $|X_j| \ge 2$  falls into the former category. Suppose to the contrary that  $X_j = \{x_1, \ldots, x_{\ell_j}\}$  with  $\ell_j = |X_j| \ge 2$  and that each  $x_t$  belongs to a second type color class  $\{x_t, y_t\}$  of  $\pi$ ,  $1 \le t \le \ell_j$ . Then by removing from  $\pi$  all these color classes and adding the new color classes  $X_j, \{y_1, y_2\}, \{y_3\}, \ldots, \{y_{\ell_j}\}$ , we get another minimum 2-coloring of G with fewer second type color classes than  $\pi$ . This is a contradiction and hence we have proved that each non-singleton part  $X_j$  is a first type color class of  $\pi$ . Therefore,  $\chi_2(G) = (m-s) + \lceil s/2 \rceil = m - \lfloor s/2 \rfloor$ .  $\Box$ 

# 3 Two upper bounds

Our first upper bound for  $\chi_f(G)$  is a counterpart of the following Welsh-Powell upper bound [12] for  $\chi(G)$ :

$$\chi(G) \le \max_{1 \le i \le p} \min\{i, d_i + 1\},\tag{1}$$

where  $d_1, \ldots, d_p$  is the degree sequence of G. It was shown in [16] that a similar upper bound holds for conditional chromatic numbers of finite sets. Let  $S = \{x_1, \ldots, x_p\}$  be a finite set. A property P associated with the subsets of S is said to be *hereditary* if whenever  $X \subseteq S$  has property P

then each subset of X has property P as well. The *P*-chromatic number  $\chi_P(S)$  of S (see e.g. [15]) is defined to be the minimum integer n such that S can be partitioned into n subsets each with property P. The *P*-degree of x in S, denoted by  $d_P(x, S)$ , was defined in [16] to be the largest number of members in a family of minimal (under set-theoretic inclusion) subsets of S not possessing P such that any two distinct members in the family intersect precisely at  $\{x\}$ . It was proved in [16, Theorem 1] that

$$\chi_P(S) \le \max_{1 \le i \le p} \min\{i, d_P(x_i, S) + 1\}.$$
 (2)

We observed that the property P of being an f-independent set of G is a hereditary property associated with the subsets of V(G), that is, X is an findependent set of G implies that each subset of X is also an f-independent set of G. In this case we call  $d_P(x, V(G))$  the f-degree of  $x \in V(G)$  in Gand we denote it by  $d_f(x, G)$ . In other words,  $d_f(x, G)$  is the maximum number of minimal non-f-independent sets whose pairwise intersections are  $\{x\}$ . From (2) above we get immediately the following upper bound for  $\chi_f(G)$ .

**Theorem 1** Let  $V(G) = \{x_1, \dots, x_p\}$ , and let  $f : V(G) \to \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\chi_f(G) \le \max_{1 \le i \le p} \min\{i, d_f(x_i, G) + 1\}.$  (3)

In the particular case where f = 1, this upper bound gives rise to (1) since  $\chi_1(G) = \chi(G)$  and the 1-degree  $d_1(x, G)$  agrees with d(x). As in the case of the general upper bound (2) (see [16]), the right-hand side of (3) is minimized when the vertices of G are ordered in such a way that  $d_f(x_1, G) \geq \cdots \geq d_f(x_p, G)$ .

The second upper bound we will give for  $\chi_f(G)$  is closely related to the following elegant theorem which was stated without proof in [2, Lemma 2'] in an equivalent form. The proofs were given in [1, 8, 13] and a variant of the following form can be found in [13, Theorem 5.2].

**Theorem 2** (see [1, 2, 8, 13]) Let C be a set of colors and let  $g: V(G) \times C \to \mathbb{R}$  satisfy  $\sum_{i \in C} g(x, i) > d(x)$  for each  $x \in V(G)$ . Then there exists a coloring  $c: V(G) \to C$  such that  $d_{G[c^{-1}(i)]}(x) < g(x, i)$  for each vertex x of G colored with  $i \in C$ .

5

We strengthen this result by proving the following theorem, which constructs clearly the coloring c guaranteed and implies an upper bound for  $\chi_f(G)$ . The following short proof is different from that given in [1, 8, 13]. Also it seems that it is not the unpublished proof of Borodin and Kostochka [2] since both [1] and [13] imply that in [2] induction on |C| is exploited and in the case where |C| = 2 the required coloring  $c : V(G) \to \{0, 1\}$  is achieved by maximizing the quantity  $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{x \in V(G)} (g(x, c(x)) - g(x, 1 - c(x))) - t_c$ , where  $t_c$  is the number of edges joining two vertices of the same color.

**Theorem 2'** Let C be a set of colors and let  $g: V(G) \times C \to \mathbb{R}$  satisfy  $\sum_{i \in C} g(x,i) > d(x)$  for each  $x \in V(G)$ . Let  $\pi = \{V_i : i \in C\}$  be a partition of V(G) such that  $g_{\pi} = \sum_{i \in C} \sum_{x \in V_i} (g(x,i) - \frac{1}{2}d_{G[V_i]}(x))$  is as large as possible. Then  $d_{G[V_i]}(x) < g(x,i)$  for each  $i \in C$  and  $x \in V_i$ .

**Proof** For each vertex x of G and each  $V_i$  (x is not necessarily in  $V_i$ ), we denote by  $d_i(x)$  the number of vertices in  $V_i$  adjacent to x. (In particular, if  $x \in V_i$ , then  $d_i(x) = d_{G[V_i]}(x)$ .) Suppose to the contrary that there exists a pair (x, j) with  $x \in V_j$  such that  $d_j(x) = d_{G[V_j]}(x) \ge g(x, j)$ . Since  $\sum_{i \in C} g(x, i) > d(x) = \sum_{i \in C} d_i(x)$  by our assumption, there exists  $\ell \in C \setminus \{j\}$  such that  $d_\ell(x) < g(x, \ell)$ . Let  $\sigma = \{W_i : i \in C\}$  be the partition of V(G) defined by  $W_j = V_j \setminus \{x\}, W_\ell = V_\ell \cup \{x\}$  and  $W_i = V_i$  for  $i \neq j, \ell$ . Then for each vertex  $y \in W_j$ ,  $g(y, j) - \frac{1}{2}d_{G[W_j]}(y)$  equals to  $g(y, j) - \frac{1}{2}(d_{G[V_j]}(y) - 1)$  if y is adjacent to x and  $g(y, j) - \frac{1}{2}d_{G[V_\ell]}(z)$  equals to  $g(z, \ell) - \frac{1}{2}(d_{G[V_\ell]}(z) + 1)$  if z is adjacent to x and  $g(z, \ell) - \frac{1}{2}d_{G[V_\ell]}(z)$  otherwise. Therefore, we have

$$g_{\sigma} = g_{\pi} + \left\{ \frac{1}{2} d_j(x) - (g(x, j) - \frac{1}{2} d_j(x)) \right\} \\ + \left\{ (g(x, \ell) - \frac{1}{2} d_\ell(x)) - \frac{1}{2} d_\ell(x) \right\} \\ = g_{\pi} + (d_j(x) - g(x, j)) + (g(x, \ell) - d_\ell(x)) \\ > g_{\pi}.$$

This contradicts our choice of  $\pi$  and hence the result is proved.  $\Box$ 

Let us call

$$ds_f(x,G) = \left\lceil \frac{d(x)+1}{f(x)} \right\rceil$$

the *f*-density of x in G. Then Theorem 2' implies the following upper bound for  $\chi_f(G)$  in terms of the maximum *f*-density of G defined by

$$DS_f(G) = \max_{x \in V(G)} ds_f(x, G).$$

**Theorem 3** For any function  $f: V(G) \to \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$\chi_f(G) \le DS_f(G). \tag{4}$$

In particular, we have

$$\chi_k(G) \le \left\lceil \frac{\Delta(G) + 1}{k} \right\rceil.$$
(5)

**Proof** Let *n* be a positive integer satisfying  $n \ge (d(x) + 1)/f(x)$  for each  $x \in V(G)$ . Let *C* be a set of *n* colors and set g(x, i) = f(x) for each  $i \in C$ . Then  $\sum_{i \in C} g(x, i) > d(x)$  for each  $x \in V(G)$  and hence by Theorem 2' the partition  $\pi = \{V_i : i \in C\}$  with  $g_{\pi} = \sum_{x \in V(G)} f(x) - \sum_{i \in C} q(G[V_i])$  as large as possible is an (f, n)-coloring of *G*. Since the minimum such integer *n* is  $DS_f(G)$ , it follows that  $\chi_f(G) \le DS_f(G)$ .  $\Box$ 

This proof shows that the partition  $\pi = \{V_1, \ldots, V_n\}$  of V(G) with  $\sum_{i=1}^n q(G[V_i])$  as small as possible can serve uniformly as an *f*-coloring of *G* for any *f* with  $DS_f(G) \leq n$ . The upper bounds (4) and (5) resemble the classical theorem of Brooks (see e.g. [7]), which says that  $\chi(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 1$  for any connected graph *G* with equality if and only if *G* is either a complete graph or an odd cycle. However, characterization of the extremal graphs for (4) or (5) seems to be much harder, even in the case where k = 2 (see Example 3 in the next section). As noticed in [5, Theorem 5(b)], (5) can be derived from [9, Theorem 1].

# 4 Results on 2-chromatic number

By definition, the 2-chromatic number  $\chi_2(G)$  is the minimum number of classes into which V(G) can be partitioned such that each class induces a subgraph whose connected components are either  $K_1$  or  $K_2$ . Similarly, the 3-chromatic number  $\chi_3(G)$  is the minimum number of classes into which

V(G) can be partitioned such that each class induces a subgraph whose connected components are either paths or cycles. Therefore,  $\chi_2(G)$  and  $\chi_3(G)$  provide, respectively, upper and lower bounds for the vertex linear arboricity vla(G) of G, which was defined in [10] to be the minimum number of classes into which V(G) can be partitioned such that each class induces a forest whose connected components are paths. Since  $\lceil (\Delta(G)+1)/2 \rceil =$  $\lvert \Delta(G)/2 \rvert + 1$ , from (5) we get

$$\chi_3(G) \le \operatorname{vla}(G) \le \chi_2(G) \le \left\lfloor \Delta(G)/2 \right\rfloor + 1,\tag{6}$$

and hence any upper bound for  $\chi_2(G)$  is also an upper bound for  $\operatorname{vla}(G)$ . In particular, by proving a result ([10, Theorem (1)]) which is equivalent to  $\chi_2(G) \leq \lfloor \Delta(G)/2 \rfloor + 1$ , the author of [10] obtained the upper bound  $\operatorname{vla}(G) \leq \lfloor \Delta(G)/2 \rfloor + 1$  for  $\operatorname{vla}(G)$  ([10, Theorem (2)]). Clearly, cycles  $C_p$ and complete graphs  $K_p$  are extremal graphs for  $\chi_2(G) \leq \lfloor \Delta(G)/2 \rfloor + 1$ , and it was shown in [10, Theorem (3)] that these are the only extremal graphs for  $\operatorname{vla}(G) \leq \lfloor \Delta(G)/2 \rfloor + 1$  if G is connected and  $\Delta(G) \geq 2$  is even. The following example indicates that there exist other families of infinitely many extremal graphs for  $\chi_2(G) \leq \lfloor \Delta(G)/2 \rfloor + 1$ , and that behaviour of the extremal graphs for this upper bound seems to be unmanageable.

**Example 3** Let  $m \ge 1$  be an integer and let H be the graph obtained from  $K_{2m+1}$  by removing a matching  $x_1x_2, \ldots, x_{2\ell-1}x_{2\ell}$  of  $\ell \le m$  edges. Let  $T_1, \ldots, T_{2\ell}$  be vertex-disjoint trees (possibly  $K_1$ ) each with maximum degree at most 2m and each has no common vertex with H. Identifying a degree-one vertex of  $T_i$  (or the unique vertex of  $T_i$  if  $T_i = K_1$ ) with  $x_i$  for each i, we obtain a graph G with maximum degree 2m and one can check that  $\chi_2(G) = \lfloor \Delta(G)/2 \rfloor + 1 = m + 1$ .

In the remaining part of this section, we will give a few lower and upper bounds for  $\chi_2(G)$ . First, we prove the following two lower bounds of  $\chi_2(G)$ involving the independence number  $\beta(\overline{G})$  of  $\overline{G}$  and the edge independence number  $\beta'(G)$  of G.

8

**Theorem 4** The following lower bounds for the 2-chromatic number hold:

$$\chi_2(G) \ge \max\left\{ \left\lceil \frac{\beta(\overline{G})}{2} \right\rceil, \left\lceil \frac{p - 2\beta'(G)}{\beta(G)} \right\rceil \right\}$$
(7)

$$\chi_2(G) \ge \left\lceil \frac{p^2}{p^2 - 2(q - \beta'(G))} \right\rceil.$$
(8)

Moreover, the equality in (8) occurs if and only if G is the graph obtained from a complete n-partite graph  $K_{2\ell,...,2\ell}$  by adding a perfect matching (in such a case  $n = \chi_2(G)$ ).

**Proof** Let  $\{V_1, \ldots, V_n\}$  be a minimal 2-coloring of G. Since the connected components of each  $G[V_i]$  are either  $K_1$  or  $K_2$ , we have  $p = \sum_{i=1}^n |V_i| \le n\beta(G) + 2\beta'(G)$ , which implies  $n \ge (p - 2\beta'(G))/\beta(G)$ . Let X be a maximum independent set of  $\overline{G}$ . Then G[X] is a complete subgraph of G with  $\beta(\overline{G})$  vertices. So  $\chi_2(G) \ge \chi_2(G[X]) = \lceil \beta(\overline{G})/2 \rceil$  and (7) is established.

Let  $x_1 \prec \ldots \prec x_p$  be an order of the vertices of G such that the vertices in  $V_i$  precede those in  $V_j$  whenever i < j. Let A(G) be the adjacency matrix of G with rows and columns indexed by  $x_1, \ldots, x_p$  in this order. Then we can take A(G) as a partitioned matrix so that the *i*-th principal submatrix  $A_i$  of A(G) is the adjacency matrix of  $G[V_i]$ . Note that the number of 0entries in A(G) ( $A_i$ , respectively) is  $p^2 - 2q$  ( $|V_i|^2 - 2q(G[V_i])$ ), respectively). Applying Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we have

$$\begin{array}{rcl} p^2 - 2q & \geq & \sum_{i=1}^n |V_i|^2 - 2\sum_{i=1}^n q(G[V_i]) \\ & \geq & \frac{(\sum_{i=1}^n |V_i|)^2}{n} - 2\beta'(G) \\ & = & \frac{p^2}{n} - 2\beta'(G), \end{array}$$

which implies (8). If the equality in (8) occurs, then from the proof above we have

(i)  $|V_1| = \cdots = |V_n| = p/n$  and any two vertices in distinct color classes are adjacent; and

(ii) 
$$\beta'(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} q(G[V_i]).$$

If n is even, then  $p/2 = \beta'(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} q(G[V_i]) \le n \lfloor p/2n \rfloor \le p/2$ , implying that  $p/n = 2\ell$  is even and each  $G[V_i]$  is an  $\ell$ -matching. So G is the complete n-partite graph  $K_{2\ell,\dots,2\ell}$  together with a perfect matching. If n is odd, let,

say,  $q(G[V_1]) = \max_{1 \le i \le n} q(G[V_i])$ . Then  $\frac{p(n-1)}{2n} + q(G[V_1]) \le \beta'(G) = \sum_{i=1}^n q(G[V_i])$ . Thus,  $\frac{p(n-1)}{2n} \le \sum_{i=2}^n q(G[V_i]) \le (n-1)\lfloor p/2n \rfloor \le \frac{p(n-1)}{2n}$ , implying that  $p/n = 2\ell$  is even and each  $G[V_i]$  consists of p/2n independent edges. Therefore, G is again  $K_{2\ell,...,2\ell}$  plus a perfect matching. Conversely, if G is a complete n-partite graph  $K_{2\ell,...,2\ell}$  together with a perfect matching, then (8) gives  $\chi_2(G) \ge n$  and the n-partition of  $K_{2\ell,...,2\ell}$  is a 2-coloring of G. Thus,  $\chi_2(G) = n$  and the equality in (8) occurs.  $\Box$ 

Note that G and  $\overline{G}$  cannot be extremal graphs for (8) simultaneously. Thus from (8) and the known result  $\beta'(G) + \beta'(\overline{G}) \leq 2\lfloor p/2 \rfloor$  (see [3]) we get the following corollary.

#### **Corollary 5**

$$\frac{1}{\chi_2(G)} + \frac{1}{\chi_2(\overline{G})} < \begin{cases} \frac{p+3}{p}, & \text{if } p \text{ is even} \\ \frac{p+3}{p} - \frac{2}{p^2}, & \text{if } p \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

When the number of edges of G is relatively small, we have the following upper bound for  $\chi_2(G)$ .

**Theorem 6** Suppose  $q < \frac{1}{2} \binom{m+1}{2}$  for an integer m with  $1 < m \le p$ . Then

$$\chi_2(G) \le \left\lceil \frac{m}{2} \right\rceil. \tag{9}$$

**Proof** We make induction on p. If p = m, then  $\chi_2(G) \leq \chi_2(K_m) = \lceil m/2 \rceil$  since G is a spanning subgraph of  $K_m$ . Suppose (9) is true for any graph with  $p - 1 \geq m$  vertices and less than  $\frac{1}{2} \binom{m+1}{2}$  edges. Let G be a graph with p vertices and  $q < \frac{1}{2} \binom{m+1}{2}$  edges. Then there exists  $x \in V(G)$  such that  $d_G(x) \leq \lceil m/2 \rceil - 1$  since otherwise we would have  $q \geq \frac{p}{2} \cdot \lceil m/2 \rceil \geq m(m+1)/4 = \frac{1}{2} \binom{m+1}{2}$ , a contradiction. Let H = G - x. Then  $q(H) \leq q(G) < \frac{1}{2} \binom{m+1}{2}$  and hence by the induction hypothesis we have  $\chi_2(H) \leq \lceil m/2 \rceil$ . Let  $\{V_1, \ldots, V_n\}$  be a minimum 2-coloring of H (where  $n = \chi_2(H)$ ). If  $n < \lceil m/2 \rceil$ , then obviously  $\chi_2(G) \leq \lceil m/2 \rceil$  and we are done. If  $n = \lceil m/2 \rceil$ , then since  $d_G(x) \leq \lceil m/2 \rceil - 1$  there exists some  $V_i$  whose vertices are not adjacent to x. Thus  $\{V_1, \ldots, V_i \cup \{x\}, \ldots, V_n\}$  is a  $(2, \lceil m/2 \rceil)$ -coloring of G and the proof is complete.  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 7** If  $q < \frac{p(p+1)}{4}$ , then

$$\chi_2(G) \leq \begin{cases} \left\lceil \frac{\lceil \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{16q+1}-1)\rceil+1}{2} \right\rceil, & if \ q = \ell(4\ell+1) \ or \ \ell(4\ell-1) \\ & for \ some \ integer \ \ell \\ \\ \left\lceil \frac{\lceil \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{16q+1}-1)\rceil}{2} \right\rceil, & otherwise. \end{cases}$$
(10)

**Proof** Since q < p(p+1)/4, there exists m such that  $1 < m \le p$  and  $q < \frac{1}{2} \binom{m+1}{2}$ . The minimum value of  $\lceil m/2 \rceil$  for such integers m is the right-hand side of (10) and hence (10) follows from (9) immediately.  $\Box$ 

The equalities in (9) and (10) are attained when, for example,  $G = C_4$ and m = 4.

### 5 Problems

If  $\{V_1, \ldots, V_n\}$  is an (f, n)-coloring of G and  $\{W_1, \ldots, W_m\}$  is a (g, m)coloring of  $\overline{G}$ , then clearly  $\{V_i \cap W_j : 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m\}$  is an (f + g - 1, nm)-coloring of  $K_p$ , where f + g - 1 is the function defined by (f + g - 1)(x) = f(x) + g(x) - 1 for each vertex x. Therefore, we have

$$\chi_{f+g-1}(K_p) \le \chi_f(G)\chi_g(\overline{G})$$

and hence

$$2\sqrt{\chi_{f+g-1}(K_p)} \le \chi_f(G) + \chi_g(\overline{G}).$$

These can be viewed as generalizations of the "easy" parts of the following well-known Nordhaus-Gaddum inequalities [11]:

$$p \le \chi(G)\chi(\overline{G}) \le \left\lfloor \left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right)^2 \right\rfloor$$
 (11)

$$\lceil 2\sqrt{p} \rceil \le \chi(G) + \chi(\overline{G}) \le p + 1.$$
(12)

Unfortunately, we have been unable to obtain the counterpart of the righthand side of (12), although one can get a loose upper bound for  $\chi_f(G) + \chi_g(\overline{G})$  from (4).

**Problem 1** For given proper functions f, g relative to  $G, \overline{G}$  respectively, find sharp upper bounds for  $\chi_f(G) + \chi_g(\overline{G})$  in terms of f, g and some basic parameters of G and  $\overline{G}$ . In particular, find such upper bounds in the case where f, g are constant functions.

Denote by  $\mathcal{F}(G)$  the lattice of proper functions relative to G with the join " $\vee$ " and meet " $\wedge$ " defined by

$$(f \lor g)(x) = \max\{f(x), g(x)\}$$
$$(f \land g)(x) = \min\{f(x), g(x)\}$$

for any  $f, g \in \mathcal{F}(G)$  and  $x \in V(G)$ . It seems to the author that the following inequality is supported by a number of examples:

$$\chi_{f \lor g}(G) + \chi_{f \land g}(G) \le \chi_f(G) + \chi_g(G).$$
(13)

**Problem 2** Is (13) true for any simple graph G and any  $f, g \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ ? If it is not true in general, under what circumstances can we guarantee that (13) is true?

### References

- C. Bernardi, On a theorem about vertex colorings of graphs, *Discrete Math.* 64(1987), 95-96.
- [2] O. V. Borodin and A. V. Kostochka, On an upper bound of a graph's chromatic number, depending on the graph's degree and density, J. Combin. Theory (B) 23(1977), 247-250.
- [3] G. Chartrand and S. Schuster, On the independence number of complementary graphs, *Trans. New York Acad. Sci.* (II) 36(1974), 247-251.
- [4] L. J. Cowen, R. H. Cowen and D. R. Woodall, Defective colorings of graphs in surfaces: partitions into subgraphs of bounded valency, J. Graph Theory 10(1986), 187-195.

- [5] M. Frick, A survey on (m, k)-colorings, in: J. Gimbel, J. W. Kennedy and L. V. Quintas eds., *Quo Vadis, Graph Theory?* (Annals of Discrete Math. 55), North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1993, pp. 45-57.
- [6] F. Harary, Conditional colorability in graphs, in: F. Harary and J. S. Maybee eds., *Graphs and Applications*, Wiley, New York, 1985, pp. 127-136.
- [7] F. Harary, Graph Theory, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1969.
- [8] J. Lawrence, Covering the vertex set of a graph with subgraphs of smaller degree, *Discrete Math.* 21(1978), 61-68.
- [9] L. Lovász, On decompositions of graphs, Studia Sci. Math. Hungar. 1(1966), 237-238.
- [10] M. Matsumoto, Bounds for the vertex linear arboricity, J. Graph Theory 14(1990), 117-126.
- [11] E. A. Nordhaus and J. W. Gaddum, On complementary graphs, Amer. Math. Monthly 63(1956), 175-177.
- [12] D. J. A. Welsh and M. B. Powell, An upper bound for the chromatic number of a graph and its application to timetabling problems, *Comput. J.* **10**(1967), 85-86.
- [13] D. Woodall, Improper colorings of graphs, in: R. Nelson and R. J. Wilson eds., *Graph Colorings* (Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series), Longman Scientific and Technical, New York, 1990, pp. 45-86.
- [14] Sanming Zhou, On f-domination number of a graph, Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal 46(121)(1996), 489-499.
- [15] Sanming Zhou, Interpolation theorems for graphs, hypergraphs and matroids, *Discrete Math.* 185(1998), 221-229.
- [16] Sanming Zhou, A sequential coloring algorithm for finite sets, *Discrete Math.* 199(1999), 291-297.