Non-negative integer Verlinde coefficients for fractional level WZW models

David Ridout

Department of Theoretical Physics & Mathematical Sciences Institute, Australian National University

October 3, 2013

- DR: arXiv:0810.3532, arXiv:1001.3960, arXiv:1012.2905 [hep-th].
- T Creutzig and DR: arXiv:1205.6513, arXiv:1306.4388 [hep-th]

Getting it right!

WZW models

Fractional level problems

Getting it right!

Results

Future Directions

WZW models

Recall that the complex semisimple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}\left(2\right)$ with Killing form $\kappa(\cdot,\cdot)$ yields an (untwisted) affine Kac-Moody algebra:

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2) = \mathfrak{sl}(2) \otimes \mathbb{C}\left[t; t^{-1}\right] \oplus \mathbb{C}K,$$
$$\left[x \otimes t^{m}, y \otimes t^{n}\right]_{\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2)} = \left[x, y\right]_{\mathfrak{sl}(2)} \otimes t^{m+n} + m\kappa(x, y)\delta_{m+n, 0}K.$$

Define the vacuum module $\mathcal V$ to be the irreducible highest weight $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}$ (2)-module of highest weight 0.

The central element K acts on \mathcal{V} as a multiple k of the identity, called the level.

 \mathcal{V} carries the structure of a vertex operator algebra, denoted by $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}\,(2)_k$. K acts as $k\,\mathrm{id}$ on all $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}\,(2)_k$ -modules.

Wess-Zumino-Witten models

The case where the level k is a non-negative integer describes strings propagating on SU (2) (or SO (3)):

- The vertex algebra is rational: $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2)_k$ -modules are integrable, unitary and semisimple; there are finitely many irreducibles.
- There is a tensor product × for vertex algebras (called fusion) which closes on the spectrum.
- Every irreducible $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}\left(2\right)_k$ -module $\mathcal M$ has a unique conjugate $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}\left(2\right)_k$ -module $\mathcal M^*$ for which

$$\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}^* = \mathcal{V} \oplus \cdots$$

WZW models

$$\operatorname{ch}[\mathcal{M}] = \operatorname{tr}_{\mathcal{M}} z^{h_0} q^{L_0 - c/24}, \qquad c = \frac{3k}{k+2},$$

span a unitary representation of the modular group $\mathsf{SL}\left(2;\mathbb{Z}\right)$.

• The S-transformation $(z=\mathrm{e}^{2\pi\mathrm{i}\zeta}$, $q=\mathrm{e}^{2\pi\mathrm{i}\tau})$

S:
$$(\zeta, \tau) \mapsto (\zeta/\tau, -1/\tau)$$
, $\operatorname{ch}[\mathcal{M}_i] \mapsto \sum_j \mathsf{S}_{i \to j} \operatorname{ch}[\mathcal{M}_j]$

is intimately related to fusion via the Verlinde formula:

$$\mathcal{M}_i \times \mathcal{M}_j = \bigoplus_k \mathbf{N}_{ij}^{\ k} \mathcal{M}_k, \quad \mathbf{N}_{ij}^{\ k} = \sum_\ell \frac{\mathsf{S}_{i \to \ell} \mathsf{S}_{j \to \ell} \mathsf{S}_{\ell \to k}^*}{\mathsf{S}_{\mathcal{V} \to \ell}} \in \mathbb{N}.$$

• Moreover, S^2 is a permutation matrix realising conjugation.

WZW models

When the level k is not a non-negative integer, $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2)_k$ is still a vertex operator algebra $(k \neq -2)$.

Such vertex algebras are relevant for the description of strings propagating on $SL(2;\mathbb{R})$ (and its covers/quotients).

The spectrum of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2)_k$ -modules is generically uncountable. But, there is a countable collection of (admissible) levels k (including the non-negative integers) for which the irreducible vacuum module is not universal. This constrains the spectrum of the vertex operator algebra.

The constrained levels which are not non-negative integers are known as the fractional levels. We focus on them in what follows...

Fractional motivation: GKO cosets

Kent (86) proposed the existence of fractional level WZW models in order to extend the Goddard-Kent-Olive coset construction of the Virasoro minimal models to the non-unitary case:

$$\mathbf{M}(u,v) \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2)_k \oplus \widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2)_1}{\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2)_{k+1}}, \qquad k = \frac{3u - 2v}{v - u}.$$

Here, $u, v = 2, 3, 4, \dots$ with $gcd \{u, v\} = 1$.

The GKO construction requires $v-u=\pm 1$, hence $k\in\mathbb{N}$.

But, do such fractional level models exist?

Fractional evidence: Modular transformations

Consistency requires that the partition function (a sum of products of characters) should be invariant under modular transformations.

Kac and Wakimoto (88) found classes of irreducible highest-weight $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}$ (2)-modules whose characters span a representation of the modular group SL (2; \mathbb{Z}).

This class is non-empty if and only if k is of the form

$$k = \frac{3u - 2v}{v - u}$$
, $u, v = 2, 3, 4, \dots$ with $gcd\{u, v\} = 1$.

ie. that which is required to get a minimal model as a coset.

Adamović and Milas later proved (95) that these were precisely the category $\mathcal O$ irreducibles for the vertex algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}\,(2)_k$.

Modular invariant partition functions were constructed, but Koh and Sorba (88) found that Verlinde's formula for fusion did not quite work!

- The Verlinde formula gave negative (integer) fusion coefficients.
- Computing fusion rules via singular vector decoupling gave different fusion coefficients (with their own problems).
- The conjugation matrix S² also contained negative (integer) entries (so not a permutation).

Many ad hoc "solutions" proclaimed — but none were universally agreed upon. Di Francesco, Mathieu & Sénéchal wrote (97) that the fractional level theories may possess an "intrinsic sickness".

The problem is categorical!

Category \mathcal{O} is not closed under conjugation. Gaberdiel (01) investigated the closure under fusion for $k = -\frac{4}{3}$:

- Fusion may result in irreducible non-highest weight modules whose conformal dimensions are not bounded below.
- Fusion also generates new irreducible non-highest weight modules whose conformal dimensions are bounded below.
- The fusion of these new representations can result in indecomposable modules of logarithmic type.

Adamović & Milas (95) knew about some of these new irreducibles; Feigin, Semikhatov & Tipunin (97) and Maldacena & Oguri (00) knew about the rest. The indecomposables were new.

Curing the sickness

We therefore reject category \mathcal{O} , instead enlarging the category to encompass all twisted, relaxed highest-weight $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2)_k$ -modules.

"Relaxed" refers to dropping the requirement that a (relaxed) highest weight state is annihilated by e_0 .

"Twisted" refers to composing with spectral flow automorphisms:

$$\sigma^{\ell}(e_n) = e_{n-\ell}, \quad \sigma^{\ell}(h_n) = h_n - \delta_{n,0}\ell k, \quad \sigma^{\ell}(f_n) = f_{n+\ell},$$
$$\sigma^{\ell}(L_0) = L_0 - \frac{1}{2}\ell h_0 + \frac{1}{4}\ell^2 k.$$

The action is given by $(J \in \widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2)_k, v \in \mathcal{M}, \sigma^{\ell}(v) \in \sigma^{\ell}(\mathcal{M}))$:

$$J\cdot\sigma^{\ell}\left(v\right)=\sigma^{\ell}\left(\sigma^{-\ell}\left(J\right)v\right).$$

A complete (irreducible) spectrum

The irreducible spectrum in this category is:

- $\sigma^{\ell}(\mathcal{L}_{r,s})$ where $\mathcal{L}_{r,s}$ has highest weight $\lambda_{r,s} = r 1 \frac{u}{s}$,
- $\sigma^{\ell}(\mathcal{E}_{\lambda;\Delta_{r,s}})$ where $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda;\Delta_{r,s}}$ has relaxed highest weight $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

Here,
$$r = 1, 2, \dots, u - 1$$
, $s = 1, 2, \dots, v - 1$ and

$$k = -2 + \frac{u}{v}$$
, with $u, v = 2, 3, 4, \dots$ and $gcd\{u, v\} = 1$.

The minimal conformal dimension of states in $\mathcal{L}_{r,s}$ or $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda;\Delta_{r,s}}$ is

$$\Delta_{r,s} = \frac{(vr - us)^2 - v^2}{4uv}.$$

(This almost looks like a Virasoro minimal model...)

Results

Theorem

If $\chi_{r,s}^{Vir}(q)$ denotes the Virasoro minimal model character of the irreducible Virasoro module of central charge and highest weight

$$c = 1 - \frac{6(v - u)^2}{uv}, \quad h_{r,s} = \frac{(vr - us)^2 - (v - u)^2}{4uv},$$

then

$$\operatorname{ch}\left[\mathcal{E}_{\lambda;\Delta_{r,s}}\right]\left(z;q\right) = \frac{z^{\lambda}\chi_{r,s}^{\operatorname{Vir}}\left(q\right)}{\eta\left(q\right)^{2}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} z^{2n}$$

$$= \frac{\chi_{r,s}^{\operatorname{Vir}}\left(\tau\right)}{\eta\left(\tau\right)^{2}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{\mathrm{i}\pi m\lambda} \delta\left(2\zeta - m\right).$$

The characters of the $\sigma^{\ell}(\mathcal{E}_{\lambda;\Delta_{r,s}})$ carry a projective representation of $\mathsf{SL}\left(2;\mathbb{Z}\right)$ of uncountably-infinite dimension. The S-matrix is

$$\mathsf{S}_{\sigma^{\ell}(\mathcal{E}_{\lambda;\Delta_{r,s}}) \to \sigma^{\ell'}(\mathcal{E}_{\lambda';\Delta_{r',s'}})} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{|\tau|}{-\mathrm{i}\tau} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\pi(k\ell\ell' + \ell\lambda' + \ell'\lambda)} \mathsf{S}^{\mathrm{Vir}}_{(r,s) \to (r',s')},$$

where the $\mathbf{M}\left(u,v\right)$ S-matrix is given by

$$\mathsf{S}_{(r,s)\to(r',s')}^{\mathrm{Vir}} = -2\sqrt{\frac{2}{uv}} (-1)^{rs'+r's} \sin\frac{v\pi rr'}{u} \sin\frac{u\pi ss'}{v}.$$

Note that this S-matrix is unitary and symmetric. Moreover, S² is, up to the phase $-\mathrm{e}^{-2\mathrm{i}\arg\tau}$, the permutation describing conjugation.

Results

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{r,s} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\lambda_{r,s};\Delta_{r,s}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{u-r,v-s}^* \longrightarrow 0.$$

This leads to resolutions for the highest weight modules $\mathcal{L}_{r,s}$, hence characters, hence S-transformation formulae.

Theorem

The S-matrix entries for the vacuum module $\mathcal{V} = \sigma^{-1}(\mathcal{L}_{u-1,v-1})$ are

$$\mathsf{S}_{\mathcal{V} \to \sigma^{\ell'}(\mathcal{E}_{\lambda';\Delta_{r',s'}})} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{|\tau|}{-\mathsf{i}\tau} \frac{\mathsf{S}_{(1,1) \to (r',s')}^{\mathsf{Vir}}}{2\cos\left(\pi\lambda'\right) + \left(-1\right)^{r'} 2\cos\left(k\pi s'\right)}.$$

We can now substitute into the Verlinde formula.

Theorem

The Verlinde products of the $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda_{r,s};\Delta_{r,s}}$ are

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{E}_{\lambda;\Delta_{r,s}} \times \mathcal{E}_{\lambda';\Delta_{r',s'}} &= \sum_{r'',s''} \mathsf{N}^{\mathrm{Vir}}_{(r,s)(r',s')}^{(r'',s'')} \\ & \cdot \left(\sigma \left(\mathcal{E}_{\lambda+\lambda'-k;\Delta_{r'',s''}} \right) + \sigma^{-1} \left(\mathcal{E}_{\lambda+\lambda'+k;\Delta_{r'',s''}} \right) \right) \\ & + \sum_{r'',s''} \left(\mathsf{N}^{\mathrm{Vir}}_{(r,s)(r',s'-1)}^{(r'',s'')} + \mathsf{N}^{\mathrm{Vir}}_{(r,s)(r',s'+1)}^{(r'',s'')} \right) \mathcal{E}_{\lambda+\lambda';\Delta_{r'',s''}}, \end{split}$$

where $\mathsf{N}_{(r,s)(r',s')}^{\mathrm{Vir}}$ denotes the $\mathbf{M}\left(u,v\right)$ fusion coefficients.

The right-hand side need not be a direct sum — the Verlinde formula can only detect the Grothendieck ring of fusion.

Results 0000

$$\mathcal{E}_{\lambda;\Delta_{r,s}} \times \mathcal{L}_{r',s'} = \sum_{r'',s''} \mathsf{N}^{\mathrm{Vir}}_{(r,s)(r',s'+1)}^{(r'',s'')} \mathcal{E}_{\lambda+\lambda_{r',s'};\Delta_{r'',s''}} \\ + \sum_{r'',s''} \mathsf{N}^{\mathrm{Vir}}_{(r,s)(r',s')}^{(r'',s'')} \sigma\left(\mathcal{E}_{\lambda+\lambda_{r',s'+1};\Delta_{r'',s''}}\right),$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{r,s} \times \mathcal{L}_{r',s'} = \begin{cases} \sum_{r'',s''}^{\text{Vir}} \mathsf{N}_{(r,s)(r',s')}^{\text{Vir}} \sigma\left(\mathcal{E}_{\lambda_{r'',s+s'+1};\Delta_{r'',s''}}\right) \\ + \sum_{r''} \mathsf{N}_{(r,1)(r',1)}^{\text{Vir}} (r'',1) \mathcal{L}_{r'',s+s'}, & \text{if } s+s' < v, \\ \sum_{r'',s''} \mathsf{N}_{(r,s+1)(r',s'+1)}^{\text{Vir}} (r'',s'') \sigma\left(\mathcal{E}_{\lambda_{r'',s+s'+1};\Delta_{r'',s''}}\right) \\ + \sum_{r''} \mathsf{N}_{(r,1)(r',1)}^{\text{Vir}} (r'',1) \sigma\left(\mathcal{L}_{u-r'',s+s'-v+1}\right), & \text{if } s+s' \geqslant v. \end{cases}$$

Note all coefficients are manifestly non-negative!

Future Directions

- We can check that quotienting by the $\sigma^{\ell}(\mathcal{E}_{\lambda:\Delta_{r,s}})$ recovers the formulae of Koh and Sorba (including negative coefficients).
- Like to understand the indecomposable structures appearing in the fusion products, eg. the projective covers of the $\sigma^{\ell}(\mathcal{L}_{r,s})$.
- Can fractional level modular invariants for $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2)_k$ be classified? Old results are incorrect as spectrum was wrong!
- Suggests that fusion for the $SL(2;\mathbb{R})$ (AdS₃) WZW model may finally be computed (cf. Maldacena & Ooguri).
- Generalise to higher rank fractional level WZW models?
- One instance of our programme to understand modular transformations and Verlinde formulae in logarithmic conformal field theory (cf. success with $W(p_+, p_-)$, $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}(1|1)$).