

Vertex algebras & modularity

$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}$, or simple complex Lie algebra (eg. sl_2).

$\Rightarrow \exists$ a nondeg. inv. symm. bilin. form!

$$\kappa([\![x, y]\!] , z) = \kappa(x, [\![y, z]\!])$$

$\hat{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}K$ affine Kac-Moody alg.

Set $x_n = x \otimes t^n$ so $[x_n, K] = 0$

$$\& [x_m, y_n] = [x, y]_{m+n} + m \kappa(x, y) \delta_{m+n, 0} K.$$

Δ -decompositions: creation/annihilation ops.

$$\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^> = \mathfrak{g} \otimes t \mathbb{C}[t] = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}} \{ x_n : x \in \mathfrak{g}, n > 0 \}$$

$$\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^0 = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathbb{C}K$$

$$\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^< = \mathfrak{g} \otimes t^{-1} \mathbb{C}[t^{-1}] = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}} \{ x_n : x \in \mathfrak{g}, n < 0 \}.$$

level- k universal vacuum module $V_k(\mathfrak{g})$:

$\mathbb{C}\Omega$ = trivial \mathfrak{g} -module

$\rightarrow \hat{\mathfrak{g}}^0$ -module: $K\Omega = k\Omega, k \in \mathbb{C}$.

$\rightarrow (\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^> \oplus \hat{\mathfrak{g}}^0)$ -module: $x_n \Omega = 0, n > 0$.

$$V_k(\mathfrak{g}) = \text{Ind}_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^0}^{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\mathbb{C}\Omega).$$

The vacuum modules $V_k(\mathfrak{g})$ and $h_k(\mathfrak{g})$ are VOAs $\forall k \neq -h^\vee$. What does this mean?

- \exists a vacuum state $\Omega \in V$
- \exists a conformal vector $T = \frac{1}{2(k+h^\vee)} \sum_i u^i v_{-1}^i \Omega$
($\{u^i\}$ and $\{v^i\}$ dual bases of \mathfrak{g} w.r.t κ)
- \exists a state-field correspondence

$$V \longrightarrow \text{End}(V)[[z, z^{-1}]]$$

$$v \longmapsto v(z)$$

eg. $\Omega \longmapsto \text{id}_V$

$$T \longmapsto T(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} L_n z^{-n-2}$$

s.t.

- $v(z)\Omega|_{z=0} = v$

- $[L_m, L_n] = (m-n)L_{m+n} + \frac{m^3-m}{12} \delta_{m+n,0} c_k \text{id}_V$
(Virasoro algebra with $c_k = \frac{k \dim \mathfrak{g}}{k+h^\vee}$)

- $[L_0, v_{-n}] = n v_{-n}$ (L_0 grades V)

- $v \longmapsto v(z) \Rightarrow L_{-1} v \longmapsto \partial v(z)$ ($\partial \equiv \partial_z$)

- $(z-w)^N [u(z), v(w)] = 0 \quad \forall N \gg 0.$

$\Rightarrow V$ has an infinite number of products wrapped into an operator product expansion:

$$u(z)v(w) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi_n(w) (z-w)^{-n-\Delta_u}$$

$$(L_0 u = \Delta_u u, \quad u(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} u_n z^{-n-\Delta_u})$$

Example: $V = V_k(\mathfrak{gl}_1)$:

$$a \equiv a_{-1}\Omega \longmapsto a(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n z^{-n-1}$$

$$a(z)a(w) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi_n(w) (z-w)^{-n-1}$$



$$\begin{aligned} & \Omega \\ & a_{-1}\Omega \\ T = & \frac{1}{2k} a_{-1}^2 \Omega \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow a(z)a(w)\Omega \Big|_{w=0} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi_n(w) (z-w)^{-n-1} \Omega \Big|_{w=0}$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n z^{-n-1} a = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi_n z^{-n-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow \phi_n = a_n a = a_n a_{-1} \Omega.$$

Use $[a_m, a_n] = m\delta_{m+n,0} k \cdot \text{id}_V$:

$$\phi_0 = a_0 a_{-1} \Omega = a_{-1} a_0 \Omega = 0,$$

$$\phi_1 = a_1 a_{-1} \Omega = (a_{-1} a_1 + k) \Omega = k \Omega \longleftrightarrow k \cdot \text{id}_V,$$

$$\phi_n = a_n a_{-1} \Omega = a_{-1} a_n \Omega = 0 \quad (n > 1).$$

$$\therefore a(z)a(w) = \frac{k \cdot \text{id}}{(z-w)^2} + O(1) \quad [\text{OPE}].$$

The OPE is the operation d_C a VOA.

Its singular terms are equivalent to the commutation relations:

$$[a_m, a_n] \stackrel{!}{=} \oint_0 \oint_w a(z)a(w) z^m w^n \frac{dz}{2\pi i} \frac{dw}{2\pi i}$$

$$= \oint_0 \oint_w \frac{z^m w^n \cdot k \text{id}}{(z-w)^2} \frac{dz}{2\pi i} \frac{dw}{2\pi i}$$

$$= \oint_w m k w^{m+n-1} \text{id} \frac{dw}{2\pi i}$$

$$= m \delta_{m+n,0} k \text{id}. \quad \checkmark$$

For $V_k(\mathfrak{g})$ or $L_k(\mathfrak{g})$, the OPEs are only slightly more complicated:

$$v \mapsto v(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} v_n z^{-n-1} \quad (v \in \mathfrak{g})$$

$$\Rightarrow u(z)v(w) = \frac{k(u,v)k \cdot \text{id}}{(z-w)^2} + \frac{[u,v](w)}{z-w} + O(1).$$

And there are many other classes of VOAs, mostly with more complicated OPEs.

VOAs are algebras \rightarrow representation theory?

$$\text{VOA elements: } v \mapsto v(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} v_n z^{-n-\Delta_v}.$$

VDA module: vector space M on which the v_n act subject to relations (OPEs + more).

Important restriction — annihilation condition:

$$\forall m \in M, \exists N \text{ s.t. } v_n m = 0 \quad \forall n > N.$$

$$\text{ie } v(z)m \in M[[z, z^{-1}]].$$

Examples:

- Every $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module M with $K \mapsto k \cdot \text{id}_M$ that satisfies the annihilation condition is a $V_k(\mathfrak{g})$ -module, [OPEs \leftrightarrow commutators!]
- The Verma modules \mathcal{F}_p of $\hat{\mathfrak{gl}}_1$ are $V_k(\mathfrak{gl}_1)$ -mods.
 $\mathcal{F}_p = \text{Ind}_{\hat{\mathfrak{gl}}_1}^{\hat{\mathfrak{gl}}_1} (\mathbb{C} \Omega_p)$, $a_0 \Omega_p = p \Omega_p$, $K \Omega_p = k \Omega_p$,
 $a_n \Omega_p = 0 \quad \forall n > 0.$

• $L_1(\mathfrak{sl}_2) = V_1(\mathfrak{sl}_2) / \langle e_{-1}^2 \Omega \rangle \leftarrow$ extra relations!

$$e_{-1}^2 \Omega \mapsto :ee:(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \underbrace{\left[\sum_{r \leq -1} e_r e_{n-r} + \sum_{r \geq 0} e_{n-r} e_r \right]}_{:ee:_n} z^{-n-2}$$

If $V_n m = 0 \ \forall n > 0$, then $:ee:_n$

$$\begin{matrix} \dots & m & \dots \\ e_{-1} m & h_{-1} m & f_{-1} m \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{matrix}$$

$$0 = :ee:_0 m = e_0^2 m.$$

So the only (irreducible highest-weight) $L_1(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -mods

are the Weyl modules $\mathcal{L}_0 (\cong \mathfrak{h}_1(\mathfrak{sl}_2))$ and \mathcal{L}_1 :

$$\begin{matrix} & \Omega & & \\ f_{-1} \Omega & h_{-1} \Omega & e_{-1} \Omega & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \\ & \mathcal{L}_0 & & \end{matrix}$$

$$\begin{matrix} & \Omega_{-1} & \Omega_1 & \\ h_{-1} \Omega_{-1} & & h_{-1} \Omega_1 & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \\ & \mathcal{L}_1 & & \end{matrix}$$

Categories:

• $L_1(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -mod is semisimple with finitely many irreducibles (up to \cong).

It is also tensor (fusion product), braided and rigid!

$$\mathcal{L}_0 \otimes \mathcal{L}_0 \cong \mathcal{L}_0, \quad \mathcal{L}_0 \otimes \mathcal{L}_1 \cong \mathcal{L}_1, \quad \mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_1 \cong \mathcal{L}_0.$$

It is also modular:

* characters $ch[\mathcal{L}_\lambda] = \text{tr}_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda} e^{2\pi i \frac{h_0}{24}} e^{2\pi i \tau(L_0 - c, h_4)}$
are known explicitly.

* $(\text{ch}[\mathcal{L}_0], \text{ch}[\mathcal{L}_1])$ is a vector-valued modular form:

$$\text{ch}[\mathcal{L}_\lambda](\zeta|\tau|-1/\tau) = \sum_{\mu} S_{\lambda\mu} \text{ch}[\mathcal{L}_\mu](\zeta|\tau)$$

$$\text{ch}[\mathcal{L}_\lambda](\zeta|\tau+1) = \sum_{\mu} T_{\lambda\mu} \text{ch}[\mathcal{L}_\mu](\zeta|\tau)$$

$$S = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad T = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-\pi i/12} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-5\pi i/12} \end{pmatrix}.$$

* The multiplicities of the fusion product are given by the Verlinde formula:

$$N_{11}^0 = \sum_{\lambda} \frac{S_{1\lambda} S_{1\lambda} S_{0\lambda}^*}{S_{0\lambda}} = S_{10}^2 + S_{11}^2 = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} = 1,$$

$$N_{11}^1 = \sum_{\lambda} \frac{S_{1\lambda} S_{1\lambda} S_{1\lambda}^*}{S_{0\lambda}} = \frac{S_{10}^3}{S_{00}} + \frac{S_{11}^3}{S_{01}} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_1 = \bigoplus_{\lambda} N_{11}^{\lambda} \mathcal{L}_\lambda = \mathcal{L}_0. \quad \checkmark$$

• $V_k(\mathfrak{gl}_1)$ -wtmod $_{\mathbb{R}}$ is semisimple with an uncountably infinite number of irreducibles:

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathcal{F}_p, p \in \mathbb{R} \\ \Omega_p \\ a_{-1} \Omega_p \\ a_{-1}^2 \Omega_p \quad a_{-2} \Omega_p \\ \vdots \quad \vdots \end{array}$$

It is nevertheless tensor, braided and rigid:

$$\mathcal{F}_p \otimes \mathcal{F}_q = \mathcal{F}_{p+q}.$$

It is also modular!

$$\begin{aligned} * \quad \text{ch}[\mathcal{F}_p] &= \text{tr}_{\mathcal{F}_p} e^{2\pi i \zeta a_0} e^{2\pi i \tau (L_0 - 1/24)} \\ &= \frac{e^{2\pi i \zeta p} e^{\pi i \tau p^2}}{\eta(\tau)}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} * \quad \text{ch}[\mathcal{F}_p](\zeta/\tau \mid -1/\tau) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} S_{pq} \text{ch}[\mathcal{F}_q](\zeta/\tau) dq \\ \text{ch}[\mathcal{F}_p](\zeta/\tau+1) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} T_{pq} \text{ch}[\mathcal{F}_q](\zeta/\tau) dq \end{aligned}$$

$$S_{pq} = e^{-2\pi i pq}, \quad T_{pq} = e^{\pi i (p^2 - 1/12)} \delta(p-q).$$

$$\begin{aligned} * \quad N_{pq}^r &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{S_{pr} S_{qr} S_{r0}^*}{S_{0r}} dr \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{2\pi i (r-p-q)r} dr \\ &= \delta(r-p-q) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{F}_p \otimes \mathcal{F}_q \cong \bigoplus_{\mathbb{R}} N_{pq}^r \mathcal{F}_r dr = \mathcal{F}_{p+q}. \quad \checkmark$$

My research:

I study $L_k(\mathfrak{g})\text{-wtmod}_{\mathbb{R}}$ (and related examples like W-algebras), especially when the category is nonsemisimple & nonfinite!

It is however still expected to be tensor, braided, rigid and modular.

But even its abelian structure is hard...