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Abstract

The Poisson point process is a type of random object known as a point process that has been the focus of much study and application. This survey aims to give an accessible but detailed account of the Poisson point process by covering its history, mathematical definitions in a number of settings, and key properties as well detailing various terminology and applications of the process, with recommendations for further reading.

1 Introduction

In probability, statistics and related fields, a Poisson point process or a Poisson process or a Poisson point field is a type of random object known as a point process or point field that consists of randomly positioned points located on some underlying mathematical space [63]. The process has convenient mathematical properties [39], which has led to it being frequently defined in Euclidean space and used as a mathematical model for seemingly random processes in numerous disciplines such as astronomy [5], biology [53], ecology [70], geology [16], physics [61], image processing [12], and telecommunications [7][28].

The Poisson point process is often defined on the real line playing an important role in the field of queueing theory [40] where it is used to model certain random events happening in time such as the arrival of customers at a store or phone calls at an exchange. In the plane, the point process, also known as a spatial Poisson process [9], may represent scattered objects such as users in a wireless network [2][6][7][27], particles colliding into a detector, or trees in a forest [63]. In this setting, the process is often used as part of a mathematical model and serves as a cornerstone in the related fields of spatial point processes [18][9], stochastic geometry [63], spatial statistics [50][9] and continuum percolation theory [45].
more abstract spaces, the Poisson point process serves as a subject of mathematical study in its own right [39].

In all settings, the Poisson point process has the property that each point is stochastically independent to all the other points in the process, which is why it is sometimes called a purely or completely random process [18]. Despite its wide use as a stochastic model of phenomena representable as points, the inherent nature of the process implies that it does not adequately describe phenomena in which there is sufficiently strong interaction between the points. This has led to the sometimes overuse of the point process in mathematical models [39] [68] [2], and has inspired other point processes, some of which are constructed via the Poisson point process, that seek to capture this interaction [68].

The process is named after French mathematician Siméon Denis Poisson owing to the fact that if a collection of random points in some space form a Poisson process, then the number points in a region of finite size is directly related to the Poisson distribution, but Poisson, however, did not study the process, which independently arose in several different settings [18] [67] [26]. The process is defined with a single object, which, depending on the context, may be a constant, an integrable function or, in more general settings, a Radon measure [18] [68]. If this object is a constant, then the resulting process is called a homogeneous [39] or stationary [68] Poisson (point) process. Otherwise, the parameter depends on its location in the underlying space, which leads to the inhomogeneous or non-homogeneous Poisson (point) process [18]. The word point is often omitted, but other stochastic processes are also called Poisson processes, which can, instead of points, consist of more complicated mathematical objects such as lines and polygons, and such processes can be based on the Poisson point process [39].

The Poisson point process often appears in limit theorems of random operations applied to non-Poisson point processes. In other words, if a random operation is applied to a non-Poisson point process, such as randomly and independently moving each point, then as this operation is repeated more and more, the resulting point process will randomly behave more and more like a Poisson point process. These types of random operations, under suitable conditions, applied to a Poisson point process will also give another Poisson point process, revealing a type of mathematical closure for random point process operations [18] [39].

2 History

2.1 Poisson distribution

Despite its name, the Poisson point process was not discovered or studied by the French mathematician Siméon Denis Poisson, and the name is cited as an example of Stigler’s law [67] [26]. The name stems from its inherent relation to the Poisson distribution, derived by Poisson as a limiting case of the binomial distribution [22], which describes the probability of the sum of $n$ Bernoulli trials.
with probability $p$, often likened to the number of heads (or tails) after $n$ biased flips of a coin with the probability of a head (or tail) occurring being $p$. For some positive constant $\Lambda > 0$, as $n$ increases towards infinity and $p$ decreases towards zero such that the product $np = \Lambda$ is fixed, the Poisson distribution more closely approximates that of the binomial \[25\]. Although Poisson derived the Poisson distribution, published in 1841, by examining the binomial distribution in the limits of $p$ (to zero) and $n$ (to infinity), it is believed that he did not think of it in the sense of a stochastic process \[18\]. It only appears once in all of Poisson's work \[66\], and the result was not well-known during his time \[18\], even though over the following years a number of people would use the distribution without citing Poisson including Philipp Ludwig von Seidel and Ernst Abbe \[18\] \[67\]. The distribution would be studied years after Poisson at the end of the 19th century in a different setting by Ladislaus Bortkiewicz who did cite Poisson and used the distribution with real data to study the number of deaths from horse kicks in the Prussian army \[22\] \[56\].

## 2.2 Discovery

There are a number of claims for early uses or discoveries of the Poisson point process \[67\] \[26\]. It has been proposed that the earliest use of the Poisson point process was by John Michell in 1767, a decade before Poisson was born. Michell was interested in the probability of a star being within a certain region of another star under the assumption that the stars were ‘scattered by mere chance’, and studied an example consisting of the six brightest stars in the Pleiades, without deriving the Poisson distribution. This work would later inspire the astronomer and mathematician Simon Newcomb in 1860 to independently derive the Poisson distribution through the limiting of the Bionomial of the Poisson distribution \[26\].

At the beginning of the 20th century the Poisson point process would arise independently during the same period in three different situations \[67\] \[25\]. In 1909 the Danish mathematician and engineer Agner Krarup Erlang derived the Poisson distribution when developing a mathematical model for the number of incoming phone calls in a finite time interval. Erlang, not at the time aware of Poisson's earlier work, assumed that the number phone calls arriving in each interval of time were independent to each other, and then found the limiting case, which is effectively recasting the Poisson distribution as a limit of the binomial distribution \[67\]. In 1910 physicists Ernest Rutherford and Hans Geiger, after conducting an experiment in counting the number of alpha particles, published their results in which English mathematician Harry Bateman derived the Poisson probabilities as a solution to a family of differential equations, although Bateman acknowledged that the solutions had been previously solved by others \[18\]. This experimental work by Rutherford and Geiger partly inspired physicist Norman Campbell who in 1909 and 1910 published two key papers on thermionic noise, also known as shot noise, in vacuum tubes \[67\] \[18\], where it is believed he inde-
pendently discovered and used the Poisson process [25]. In Campbells work, he also outlined a form of Campbells theorem [67], a key result in the theory of point processes [18][9][39], but Campbell credited the proof to the mathematician G. H. Hardy [67]. The three above discoveries and applications of the Poisson point process has motivated some to say that 1909 should be considered the discovery year of the Poisson point process [67][25].

2.3 Early applications

The years after 1909 led to a number of studies and applications of the Poisson point process, however, its early history is complex, which has been explained by the various applications of the process in numerous fields by biologists, ecologists, engineers and various physical scientists. The early results were published in different languages and in different settings, with no standard terminology and notation used [67]. For example, in 1922 Swedish Chemist and Nobel Laureate Theodor Svedberg proposed a model in which a spatial Poisson point process is the underlying process in order to study how plants are distributed in plant communities [34]. A number of mathematicians started studying the process in the early 1930s, and important contributions were made by Andrey Kolmogorov, William Feller and Aleksandr Khinchin [67], among others [38]. As an application, Kolmogorov used a spatial Poisson point process to model the formation of crystals in metals [68]. In the field of teletraffic engineering, where a lot of the early researchers were Danes, such as Erlang, and Swedes, mathematicians and statisticians studied and used Poisson and other point processes [30].

2.4 History of terms

The Swedish engineer Conrad Palm in his 1943 dissertation studied the Poisson and other point processes in the one-dimensional setting by examining them in terms of the statistical or stochastic dependence between the points in time [30][18]. In his work exists the first known recorded use of the term “point process” as “Punktprozesse” in German [18][26].

It is believed by some [67] that Feller was the first in print to refer to it as the Poisson process in a 1940 paper, though Feller also used the expression Poisson ensemble in the second volume of his book published 1974 [20]. Although Ove Lundberg, another Swede, also used the term Poisson process in his 1940 PhD dissertation [26], in which Feller was acknowledged as an influence on Lundberg [24], it has been claimed that Feller coined the term before 1940 [25]. It has been remarked that both Feller and Lundberg used the term as though it were well-known, implying it was already in spoken use [26]. Feller worked from 1936 to 1939 alongside Swedish mathematician and statistician Harald Cramér at Stockholm University, where Lundberg was a PhD student under Cramér who did not use the term “Poisson process” in a book by him, finished in 1936, but did in
subsequent editions. The term has not been found in work of the same period by
the Soviets Kolmogorov and Khinchin or American mathematician Joseph Doob,
suggesting that it was not standard in the Soviet Union or the USA. Similarly,
the term does not appear in British literature before 1948. All this has led to the
speculation that the term “Poisson process” was coined sometime between 1936
and 1939 at the Stockholm University \cite{26}.

\section{Overview of definitions}

The Poisson point process is one of the most studied stochastic processes in both
the field of point processes and in more applied disciplines concerning random
phenomena \cite{18} due to its convenient properties as a mathematical model as well
as being mathematically interesting \cite{39}. Depending on the setting, the process
has several equivalent definitions \cite{71} as well definitions of varying generality
owing to its many applications and characterizations \cite{18}. It may be defined,
studied and used in one dimension (on the real line) where it can be interpreted
as a counting process or part of a queueing model \cite{71}\cite{59}; in higher dimen-
sions such as the plane where it plays a role in stochastic geometry and spatial
statistics \cite{68}\cite{8}; or on more abstract mathematical spaces \cite{19}. Consequently, the
notation, terminology and level of mathematical rigour used to define and study
the Poisson point process and points processes in general vary according to the
context \cite{68}\cite{18}. Despite its different forms and varying generality, the Poisson
point process has two key properties.

\subsection{First key property: Poisson distributed number of points}

In all its forms the Poisson point process is related to the Poisson distribution,
which implies that the probability of a Poisson random variable $N$ is equal to $n$
is given by:

$$P\{N = n\} = \frac{\Lambda^n}{n!} e^{-\Lambda}$$

where $n!$ denotes $n$ factorial and $\Lambda$ is the single Poisson parameter that is used
to define the Poisson distribution. If a Poisson point process is defined on some
underlying mathematical space, called a state space \cite{39} or carrier space \cite{29}\cite{15},
then the number of points in a bounded region of the space will be a Poisson ran-
dom variable with some parameter whose form will depend on the setting \cite{39}.

\subsection{Second key property: complete independence}

The other key property is that for a collection of disjoint (or non-overlapping)
bounded subregions of the underlying space, the number of points in each bounded
subregion will be completely independent to all the others. This property is
known under several terms such as complete randomness, complete independence, \cite{18} or “independent scattering” \cite{68} \cite{50} \cite{69} and is common to all Poisson point processes (and Poisson processes in general). In other words, there is a lack of interaction between different regions and the points in general \cite{20}, which motivates the Poisson process being sometimes called a purely or completely random process \cite{18}.

### 3.3 Different definitions

The Poisson point process is often defined on the real line in the homogeneous setting, and then extended to a more general settings with more mathematical rigour \cite{20} \cite{18}. For all the instances of the Poisson point process, the two key properties of the Poisson distribution and complete independence play an important role \cite{68}.

### 4 Homogeneous Poisson point process

If a Poisson point process has a constant parameter, say, $\lambda$, then it is called a homogeneous or stationary Poisson point process. The parameter, called rate or intensity, is related to the expected (or average) number of Poisson points existing in some bounded region \cite{39} \cite{50}. In fact, the parameter is $\lambda$ can be interpreted as the average number of points per some unit of length, area or volume, depending on the underlying mathematical space, hence it is sometimes called the mean density \cite{18}; see Terminology.

#### 4.1 Defined on the real line

Consider two real numbers $a$ and $b$, where $a \leq b$, and which may represent points in time. Denote by $N(a, b]$ the random number of points of a homogeneous Poisson point process existing with values greater than $a$ but less than or equal to $b$. If the points form or belong to a homogeneous Poisson process with parameter $\lambda > 0$, then the probability of $n$ points existing in the above interval $(a, b]$ is given by:

$$P\{N(a, b] = n\} = \frac{[\lambda(b - a)]^n}{n!} e^{-\lambda(b-a)},$$

In other words, $N(a, b]$ is a Poisson random variable with mean $\lambda(b - a)$. Furthermore, the number of points in any two subjoint intervals, say, $(a_1, b_1]$ and $(a_2, b_2]$ are independent to each other, and this extends to any finite number of

\footnote{These two properties are not logically independent because complete independence requires the Poisson distribution, but not necessarily the converse. It has also been a subject of research whether a Poisson point process can be defined with only one of these properties; see Section 2.4.1, page 35, of Stoyan, Kendall, Mecke \cite{68} or Section 1.3 of Kingman \cite{39}.}
subjoint intervals \[18\]. In the queueing theory context, one can consider a point existing (in an interval) as an event, but this is different to the word event in the probability theory sense\(^2\). It follows that \(\lambda\) is the expected number of arrivals that occur per unit of time, and it is sometimes called the rate parameter \([59]\).

For a more formal definition of a stochastic process, such as a point process, one can use the Kolmogorov theorem, which essentially says a stochastic process is characterized (or uniquely defined) by its finite-dimensional distribution, which in this context gives the joint probability of some number of points existing in each disjoint finite interval. More specifically, let \(N(a_i, b_i)\) denote the number of points of (a point process) happening in the half-open interval \((a_i, b_i]\), where the real numbers \(a_i < b_i \leq a_{i+1}\). Then for some positive integer \(k\), the homogeneous Poisson point process on the real line with parameter \(\lambda > 0\) is defined with the finite-dimensional distribution \([18]\):

\[
P\{N(a_i, b_i] = n_i, i = 1, \ldots, k\} = \prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{[\lambda (b_i - a_i)]^{n_i}}{n_i!} e^{-\lambda (b_i - a_i)},
\]

4.1.1 Key properties

The above definition has two important features pertaining to the Poisson point processes in general:

- the number of points in each finite interval has a Poisson distribution.
- the number of points in disjoint intervals are independent random variables.

Furthermore, it has a third feature related to just the homogeneous Poisson process:

- the distribution of each interval only depends on the length \(b_i - a_i\), hence they are stationary (the process is sometimes called the stationary Poisson process). In other words, for any finite \(t > 0\), the random variable \(N(a + t, b + t]\) is independent of \(t\) \([18]\).

4.1.2 Law of large numbers

The quantity \(\lambda(b_i - a_i)\) can be interpreted as the expected or average number of points occurring in the interval \((a_i, b_i]\), namely:

\[
E\{N(a_i, b_i]\} = \lambda(b_i - a_i),
\]

where \(E\) denotes the expectation operator. In other words, the parameter \(\lambda\) of the Poisson process coincides with the density of points. Furthermore, the homogeneous Poisson point process adheres to its own form of the (strong) law of large numbers \([39]\). More specifically, with probability one:

\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{E\{N(0, t]\}}{t} = \lambda,
\]

\(^2\)It is possible for an event not happening in the queueing theory sense to be an event in the probability theory sense.
where \( \lim \) denotes the limit of a function.

### 4.1.3 Memoryless property

The distance between two consecutive points of a point process on the real line will be an exponential random variable with parameter \( \lambda \) (or equivalently, mean \( 1/\lambda \)). This implies that the points have the memoryless property: the existence of one point existing in a finite interval does effect not the probability (distribution) of other points existing. This property is directly related to the complete independence of the Poisson process, however, it has no natural equivalent when the Poisson process is defined in higher dimensions [39].

### 4.1.4 Orderliness and simplicity

A stochastic process with stationary increments is sometimes said to be orderly [17], ordinary [19] or regular [59] if

\[
P\{N(t, t + \delta] > 1\} = o(\delta),
\]

where little o notation is used. A point process is called ‘simple when the probability of any of its two points coinciding in the same position (on the underlying state space) is zero. For point processes in general on the real line, the (probability distribution) property of orderliness implies that the process is simple [17] or has the (sample path) property of simplicity [19], which is the case for the homogeneous Poisson point process.

### 4.1.5 Relationship to other processes

On the real line, the Poisson point process is a type of continuous-time Markov process known as a birth-death process (with just births and zero deaths) and is called a pure [59] or simple birth process [55]. More complicated processes with the Markov property, such as Markov arrival processes, have been defined where the Poisson process is a special case [57][71].

### 4.1.6 Counting process interpretation

The homogeneous Poisson point process, when considered on the positive half-line, is sometimes defined as a counting process, which can be written as \( \{ N(t), t \geq 0 \} \) [59][71]. A counting process represents the total number of occurrences or events that have happened up to and including time \( t \). A counting process is the Poisson counting process with rate \( \lambda > 0 \) if it has three the properties:

- \( N(0) = 0 \);
- has independent increments; and
- the number of events (or points) in any interval of length \( t \) is a Poisson random variable with parameter (or mean) \( \lambda t \).
The last property implies
\[ E[N(t)] = \lambda t. \]
The Poisson counting process can also be defined by stating that the time differences between events of the counting process are exponential variables with mean \(1/\lambda\) \cite{21}. The time differences between the events or arrivals are known as interarrival \cite{59} \cite{20} or interoccurrence times \cite{21}. These two definitions of the Poisson counting process agree with the previous definition of the Poisson point process.

### 4.1.7 Martingale characterization

On the real line, the homogeneous Poisson point process has a connection to the theory of martingales via the following characterization: a point process is the homogeneous Poisson point process if and only if
\[ N(-\infty, t] - t, \]
is a martingale \cite{46}.

### 4.1.8 Restricted to the half-line

If the homogeneous Poisson point process is considered on just on the half-line \([0, \infty)\), which is often the case when \(t\) represents time, as it does for the previous counting process \cite{59} \cite{71}, then the resulting process is not truly invariant under translation \cite{39}. In that case the process is no longer stationary, according to some definitions of stationarity \cite{59} \cite{68} \cite{17}.

### 4.1.9 Applications

There have been many applications of the homogeneous Poisson point process on the real line in an attempt to model seemingly random and independent events occurring. It has a fundamental role in queueing theory, which is the probability field of developing suitable stochastic models to represent the random arrival and departure of certain phenomena \cite{20} \cite{40} \cite{59} \cite{71}. For example, customers arriving and being served or phone calls arriving at a phone exchange can be both studied with techniques from queueing theory. In the original paper proposing the online payment system known as Bitcoin featured a mathematical model based on a homogeneous Poisson point process \cite{52}.

### 4.1.10 Generalizations

The Poisson counting process or, more generally, the homogeneous Poisson point process on the real line is considered one of the simplest stochastic processes for counting random numbers of points \cite{65} \cite{17}. The process can be generalized in
a number of ways. One possible generalization is to extend the distribution of
interarrival times from the exponential distribution to other distributions, which
introduces the stochastic process known as a renewal process. Another general-
ization is to define it on higher dimensional spaces such as the plane [18].

4.2 Spatial Poisson point process

A spatial Poisson process is a Poisson point process defined on the plane \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) [46, 42].
For its definition, consider a bounded, open or closed (or more precisely, Borel measurable) region \( B \) of the plane. Denote by \( N(B) \) the (random) number of points of \( N \) existing in this region \( B \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \). If the points belong to a homoge-
neous Poisson process with parameter \( \lambda > 0 \), then the probability of \( n \) points
existing in \( B \) is given by:

\[
P\{N(B) = n\} = \frac{(\lambda|B|)^n}{n!} e^{-\lambda|B|}
\]

where \( |B| \) denotes the area of \( B \).

More formally, for some some finite integer \( k \geq 1 \), consider a collection of dis-
joint, bounded Borel (measurable) sets \( B_1, \ldots, B_k \). Let \( N(B_i) \) denote the number
of points of existing in \( B_i \). Then the homogeneous Poisson point process with
parameter \( \lambda > 0 \) has the finite-dimensional distribution [18]

\[
P\{N(B_i) = n_i, i = 1, \ldots, k\} = \prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{(\lambda|B_i|)^{n_i}}{n_i!} e^{-\lambda|B_i|}.
\]

4.2.1 Applications

The spatial Poisson point process features prominently in spatial statistics, stochas-
tic geometry, and continuum percolation theory. This process is applied in vari-
ous physical sciences such as a model developed for alpha particles being de-
tected [68]. In recent years, it has been frequently used to model seemingly disor-
dered spatial configurations of certain wireless communication networks [2, 6, 27].

For example, models for cellular or mobile phone networks have been developed
where it is assumed the phone network transmitters, known as base stations, are
positioned according to a homogeneous Poisson point process.

4.3 Defined in higher dimensions

The previous homogeneous Poisson point process immediately extends to higher
dimensions by replacing the notion of area with (high dimensional) volume. For
some bounded region \( B \) of Euclidean space \( \mathbb{R}^d \), if the points form a homogeneous
Poisson process with parameter $\lambda > 0$, then the probability of $n$ points existing in $B \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is given by:

$$P\{N(B) = n\} = \frac{(|B|/n!)^n}{n!}e^{-|B|}$$

where $|B|$ now denotes the $n$-dimensional volume of $B$. Furthermore, for a collection of disjoint, bounded Borel sets $B_1, \ldots, B_k \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, let $N(B_i)$ denote the number of points of $N$ existing in $B_i$. Then the corresponding homogeneous Poisson point process with parameter $\lambda > 0$ has the finite-dimensional distribution [18]

$$P\{N(B_i) = n_i, i = 1, \ldots, k\} = \prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{(\lambda |B_i|)^{n_i}}{n_i!}e^{-\lambda |B_i|}.$$

Homogeneous Poisson point processes do not depend on the position of the underlying state space through its parameter $\lambda$, which implies it is both a stationary process (invariant to translation) and an isotropic (invariant to rotation) stochastic process [68]. Similarly to the one-dimensional case, the homogeneous point process is restricted to some bounded subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$, then depending on some definitions of stationarity, the process is no longer stationary [68][17].

4.4 Points are uniformly distributed

If the homogeneous point process is defined on the real line as a mathematical model for occurrences of some phenomenon, then it has the characteristic that the positions of these occurrences or events on the real line (often interpreted as time) will be uniformly distributed. More specifically, if an event occurs (according to this process) in an interval $(a - b]$ where $a \leq b$, then its location will be a uniform random variable defined on that interval [18]. Furthermore, the homogeneous point process is sometimes called the uniform Poisson point process (see Terminology). This uniformity property extends to higher dimensions in the Cartesian coordinate, but it does not hold in other coordinate systems (for example, polar or spherical).

5 Inhomogeneous Poisson point process

The inhomogeneous or nonhomogeneous Poisson point process (see Terminology) is a Poisson process with Poisson parameter defined as some location-dependent function in the underlying space on which the Poisson process is defined. For Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^d$, this is achieved by introducing a locally integrable positive function $\lambda(x)$, where $x$ is a $n$-dimensional located point in $\mathbb{R}^d$, such that for any bounded region $B$ the ($d$-dimensional) volume integral of $\lambda(x)$ over region $B$ is
finite. In other words, if this integral, denoted by $\Lambda(B)$, is [50]:

$$\Lambda(B) = \int_B \lambda(x)dx < \infty,$$

where $dx$ is a ($d$-dimensional) volume element and for any collection of disjoint bounded Borel sets $B_1, \ldots, B_k$, an inhomogeneous Poisson process with (intensity) function $\lambda(x)$ has the finite-dimensional distribution [18]:

$$P\{N(B_i) = n_i, i = 1, \ldots, k\} = \prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{(\Lambda(B_i))^{n_i}}{n_i!} e^{-\Lambda(B_i)}.$$

Furthermore, $\Lambda(B)$ has the interpretation of being the expected number of points of the Poisson process located in the bounded region $B$, namely

$$\Lambda(B) = E[N(B)].$$

### 5.1 Defined on the real line

On the real line, the inhomogeneous or non-homogeneous Poisson point process has mean measure given by a one-dimensional integral. For two real numbers $a$ and $b$, where $a \leq b$, denote by $N(a, b]$ the number points of an inhomogeneous Poisson process with intensity function $\lambda(t)$ with values greater than $a$ but less than or equal to $b$. The probability of $n$ points existing in the above interval $(a, b]$ is given by:

$$P\{N(a, b] = n\} = \frac{[\Lambda(a, b)]^n}{n!} e^{-\Lambda(a, b)}.$$

where the mean or intensity measure is:

$$\Lambda(a, b) = \int_a^b \lambda(t)dt,$$

which means that the random variable $N(a, b]$ is a Poisson random variable with mean $E\{N(a, b]\} = \Lambda(a, b)$.

A feature of the one-dimension setting considered useful is that an inhomogeneous Poisson point process can be made homogeneous by a monotone transformation, which is achieved with the inverse of $\Lambda$ [39].

3Instead of $\lambda(x)$ and $dx$, one could write, for example, in (two-dimensional) polar coordinates $\lambda(r, \theta)$ and $rdrd\theta$, where $r$ and $\theta$ denote the radial and angular coordinates respectively, and so $dx$ would be an area element in this example.
5.1.1 Counting process interpretation

The inhomogeneous Poisson point process, when considered on the positive half-line, is also sometimes defined as a counting process. With this interpretation, the process, which is sometimes written as \( \{ N(t), t \geq 0 \} \), represents the total number of occurrences or events that have happened up to and including time \( t \). A counting process is said to be an inhomogeneous Poisson counting process if it has the four properties [59][71]:

- \( N(0) = 0 \);
- has independent increments;
- \( P\{ N(t + h) - N(t) = 1 \} = \lambda(t)h + o(h) \); and
- \( P\{ N(t + h) - N(t) = 2 \} = o(h) \),

where \( o(h) \) is asymptotic notation for \( o(h)/h \to 0 \) as \( h \to 0 \).

The above properties imply that \( N(t+h) - N(t) \) is a Poisson random variable with the parameter (or mean)

\[
E[N(t+h) - N(t)] = \int_t^{t+h} \lambda(s)ds,
\]

which implies

\[
E[N(t)] = \int_0^t \lambda(s)ds.
\]

5.2 Spatial Poisson point process

An inhomogeneous Poisson process, just like a homogeneous Poisson process, defined on the plane \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) is called a spatial Poisson point process [9]. Calculating its intensity measure requires performing an area integral of its intensity function over some region. For example, its intensity function (as a function of Cartesian coordinates \( x \) and \( y \)) may be

\[
\lambda(x, y) = e^{-(x^2+y^2)},
\]

hence it has an intensity measure given by the area integral

\[
\Lambda(B) = \int_B e^{-(x^2+y^2)}dxdy,
\]

where \( B \) is some bounded region in the plane \( \mathbb{R}^2 \). The previous intensity function can be re-written, via a change of coordinates, in polar coordinates as

\[
\lambda(r, \theta) = e^{-r^2},
\]

which reveals that the intensity function in this example is independent of the angular coordinate \( \theta \), or, in other words, it is isotropic or rotationally invariant. The intensity measure is then given by the area integral

\[
\Lambda(B) = \int_B e^{-r^2} r dr d\theta,
\]
where $B$ is some bounded region in the plane $R^2$.

### 5.3 In higher dimensions

In the plane, $\Lambda(B)$ corresponds to an area integral while in $R^d$ the integral becomes a ($d$-dimensional) volume integral.

### 5.4 Applications

The real line, as mentioned earlier, is often interpreted as time and in this setting the inhomogeneous process is used in the fields of counting processes and in queueing theory [59][71]. Examples of phenomena which have been represented by or appear as an inhomogeneous Poisson point process include:

- Goals being scored in a soccer game [32].
- Defects in a circuit board [33].
- More examples needed.

On the plane, the Poisson point process is of fundamental importance in the related disciplines of stochastic geometry [68][8] and spatial statistics [9][50]. This point process is not stationary owing to the fact that its distribution is dependent on the location of underlying space or state space. Hence, it can be used to model phenomena with a density that varies over some region. In other words, the phenomena can be represented as points that have a location-dependent density. Uses for this process as a mathematical model are diverse and have appeared across various disciplines including the study of salmon and sea lice in the oceans [41], forestry [70], and naval search problems [43].

### 5.5 Interpretation of the intensity function $\lambda(x)$

The Poisson intensity function $\lambda(x)$ has interpretation, considered intuitive [68], with the volume element $dx$ in the infinitesimal sense: $\lambda(x)dx$ is the infinitesimal probability of a point of a Poisson point process existing in a region of space with volume $dx$ located at $x$ [68].

For example, given a homogeneous Poisson point process on the real line, the probability of finding a single point of the process in a small interval of width $\delta$ is approximately $\lambda \delta x$. In fact, such intuition is how the Poisson point process is sometimes introduced and its distribution derived [59][17][20].

### 5.6 Simple point process

If a Poisson point process has an intensity measure that is a diffuse (or non-atomic) Radon measure, then it is a simple point process. For a simple point process, the probability of a point existing at a single point or location in the underlying (state) space is either zero or one. This implies that, with probability
one, no two (or more) points of a Poisson point process coincide in location in the underlying space [68](6).

6 Simulation

Simulating a Poisson point process on a computer is usually done in a bounded region of space, known as a simulation window, and requires two steps: appropriately creating a random number of points and then suitably placing the points in a random manner. Both these two steps depend on the specific Poisson point process that is being simulated [69](68).

6.1 Step 1: Number of points

The number of points \( N \) in the window, denoted here by \( W \), needs to be simulated, which is done by using a (pseudo)-random number generating function capable of simulating Poisson random variables.

6.1.1 Homogeneous case

For the homogeneous case with the constant \( \lambda \), the mean of the Poisson random variable \( N \) is set to \( \lambda |W| \) where \( |W| \) is the length, area or \( (d\)-dimensional) volume of \( W \).

6.1.2 Inhomogeneous case

For the inhomogeneous case, \( \lambda |W| \) is replaced with the \( (d\)-dimensional) volume integral

\[
\Lambda(W) = \int_W \lambda(x) \, dx
\]

6.2 Step 2: Positioning of points

The second stage requires randomly placing the \( N \) points in the window \( W \).

6.2.1 Homogeneous case

For the homogeneous case, all points are uniformly and independently placed in the (interval) window \( W \). For higher dimensions in a Cartesian coordinate system, each coordinate is uniformly and independently placed in the window \( W \). If the window is not a subspace of Cartesian space (for example, inside a unit sphere or on the surface of a unit sphere), then the points will not be uniformly placed in \( W \), and suitable change of coordinates (from Cartesian) are needed [68].
6.2.2 Inhomogeneous case

For the inhomogeneous, a couple of different methods can be used depending on the nature of the intensity function $\lambda(x)$ [68]. If the intensity function is sufficiently simple, then independent and random non-uniform (Cartesian or other) coordinates of the points can be generated. For example, simulating a Poisson point process on a circular window can be done for an isotropic intensity function (in polar coordinates $r$ and $\theta$), implying it is rotationally variant or independent of $\theta$ but dependent on $r$, by a change of variable in $r$ if the intensity function is sufficiently simple. [68].

For more complex intensity functions, one can use an acceptance-rejection method, which consists of using (ie accepting) only certain random points and not using (ie rejecting) the other points, based on the ratio [69]

$$\frac{\lambda(x_i)}{\Lambda(W)} = \frac{\lambda(x_i)}{\int_W \lambda(x)dx}.$$ 

where $x_i$ is the point under consideration for acceptance or rejection.

7 General Poisson point process

The inhomogeneous Poisson point process can be defined more generally without the use of $\lambda(x)$ to introduce what is sometimes known as the general Poisson point process [68, Page 42][27, page 18]. Its definition is defined in relation to some Radon measure $\Lambda$, hence is locally finite [4]. Consequently, assuming that the underlying space of the Poisson point process is $\mathbb{R}^d$ (the space can be more general), then $\Lambda(\{x\}) = 0$ for any single point $x$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and $\Lambda(B)$ is finite for any bounded subset $B$ of $\mathbb{R}^d$ [50]. Then a point process $N$ is a general Poisson point process with intensity $\Lambda$ if it has the two following properties [68]:

1. The number of points in a bounded Borel set $B$ is a Poisson random variable with mean $\Lambda(B)$. In other words, denote the total number of points located in $B$ by $N(B)$, then the probability that the random variable $N(B)$ is equal to $n$ is given by:

$$P\{N(B) = n\} = \frac{(\Lambda(B))^n}{n!} e^{-\Lambda(B)}$$

2. The Radon measure $\Lambda$ maintains its previous interpretation of being the expected number of points of $N$ located in the bounded region $B$, namely

$$\Lambda(B) = E[N(B)].$$

[4]Sometimes [68, Page 42] the Radon measure is assumed to be diffuse, while others do not assume this, and hence the measure can be atomic, that is it can have atoms at points in the underlying state space, and the number of points is a Poisson random variable [27, page 18].
Furthermore, if $\Lambda$ is absolutely continuous such that it has a density (or more precisely, a Radon–Nikodym density or derivative) with respect to the Lebesgue measure, then for all Borel sets $B$ it can be written as:

$$\Lambda(B) = \int_B \lambda(x) dx,$$

where the density $\lambda(x)$ is known, among other terms, as the intensity function.

### 8 Terminology

In addition to the word point often being omitted, the terminology of the Poisson point process and point process theory varies, which has been criticized [26]. The homogeneous Poisson (point) process is also called a stationary Poisson (point) process [18], sometimes the uniform Poisson (point) process [39], and in the past it was, by William Feller and others, referred to as a Poisson ensemble of points [20] [58]. The term “point process” has been criticized and some authors prefer the term “random point field” [68], hence the terms “Poisson random point field” or “Poisson point field” are also used [47]. A point process is considered, and sometimes called, a random counting measure [48], hence the Poisson point process is also referred to as a “Poisson random measure” [60], page 144, a term used in the study of Lévy processes [60, 44], but some choose to use the two terms for slightly different random objects [3].

The inhomogeneous Poisson point process, as well as being called “non-homogeneous” [18] or “non-homogeneous” [17], is sometimes referred to as the “non-stationary” [71], “heterogeneous” [42][11][64] or “spatially dependent” Poisson (point) process [41][54].

The measure $\Lambda$ is sometimes called the “parameter measure” [18] or “intensity measure” [68] or mean measure [39]. If $\Lambda$ has a derivative or density, denoted by $\lambda(x)$, it may be called the intensity function of the general Poisson point process [68] or simply the “rate” or “intensity” [39], since there are no standard terms [39]. For the homogeneous Poisson point process, the intensity is simply a constant $\lambda > 0$, which can be referred to as the “mean rate” or “mean density” [18] or “rate parameter” [59]. For $\lambda = 1$, the corresponding process is sometimes referred to as the “standard” Poisson (point) process [23][46][50].

The underlying mathematical and measurable space on which the point process, Poisson or other, is defined is known as a “state space” [39] or “carrier space” [29][15].

### 9 Notation

The notation of the Poisson point process depends its setting and the field it is being applied in. For example, on the real line, the Poisson process, both homo-
geneous or inhomogeneous, is sometimes interpreted as a counting process, and the notation \( \{N(t), t \geq 0\} \) is used to represent the Poisson process \([59, 71]\).

Another reason for varying notation is due to the theory of point processes, which has a couple of mathematical interpretations. For example, a simple Poisson point process may be considered as a random set, which suggests the notation \( x \in N \), implying that \( x \) is a random point belonging to or being an element of the Poisson point process \( N \). Another, more general, interpretation is to consider a Poisson or any other point process as a random counting measure, so one can write the number of points of a Poisson point process \( N \) being found or located in some (Borel measurable) region \( B \) as \( N(B) \), which is a random variable. These different interpretations result in notation being used from mathematical fields such as measure theory and set theory \([68]\).

For general point processes, sometimes a subscript on the point symbol, for example \( x \), is included so one writes (with set notation) \( x_i \in N \) instead of \( x \in N \), and \( x \) can be used for the dummy variable in integral expressions such as Campbell’s theorem, instead of denoting random points \([6]\). Furthermore, sometimes an uppercase letter denotes the point process, while a lowercase denotes a point from the process, so, for example, the point \( x \) (or \( x_i \)) belongs to or is a point of the point process \( X \), or with set notation, \( x \in X \) \([50]\). Furthermore, the integral (or measure theory) and set theory notation can be used interchangeably. For example, for a point process \( N \) defined on the Euclidean state space \( \mathbb{R}^d \) and a (measurable) function \( f \) on \( \mathbb{R}^d \), the expression

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)N(dx) = \sum_{x_i \in N} f(x_i),
\]

demonstrates two different ways to write a summation over a point process \([68]\).

10 Functions and characterizations

In the theory of probability, operations are applied to random variables for different purposes. Sometimes these are regular expectations that produce the average or variance of a random variable. Others, such as the characteristic functions (or Laplace transforms) of a random variable can be used to uniquely identify or characterize random variables and prove results like the central limit theorem \([37]\). In the theory of point processes there exist analogous mathematical tools which usually exist in the forms of measures and functionals instead of moments and functions respectively. For measures, often their densities (or Radon-Nikodym derivatives), if they exist, are also expressed with respect to the Lebesgue measure \([18, 68]\).
10.1 Avoidance function

The avoidance function \[18\][19][39] or void probability \[68\] \(v\) of a point process \(N\) is defined in relation to some set \(B\), which is a subset of the underlying space \(\mathbb{R}^d\), as the probability of no points of \(N\) existing in \(B\). More precisely \[68\], for a test set \(B\), the avoidance function is given by:

\[
v(B) = P(N(B) = 0).
\]

10.1.1 Rényi’s theorem

It can be shown that simple point processes are completely characterized by their void probabilities. In other words, complete information of a simple point process is captured entirely in its void probabilities. The case for Poisson process is sometimes known as Rényi’s theorem \[39\], citegrimmett2001probability, which is named after Alfréd Rényi who discovered the result in the case of a homogeneous point process in one-dimension \[39\].

In one form \[39\], the theorem says for a diffuse (or non-atomic) Radon measure \(\Lambda\) on \(\mathbb{R}^d\) and a set \(A\) is a finite union of rectangles (so not Borel)\footnote{This set \(A\) is formed by a finite number of unions, whereas a Borel set is formed by a countable number of set operations. \[18\]} that if \(N\) is a countable subset of \(\mathbb{R}^d\) such that:

\[
P(N(A) = 0) = v(A) = e^{-\Lambda(A)}
\]

then \(N\) is a Poisson point process with intensity measure \(\Lambda\).

10.2 Contact distribution function

For a Poisson point process \(N\) on \(\mathbb{R}^d\) with intensity measure \(\Lambda\) the contact distribution function is:

\[
H_s(r) = 1 - e^{-\Lambda(b(o,r))},
\]

which for the homogeneous case becomes

\[
H_s(r) = 1 - e^{-\lambda|b(o,r)|},
\]

where \(|b(o,r)|\) denotes the volume (or more specifically, the Lebesgue measure) of the (hyper) ball of radius \(r\). In the plane \(\mathbb{R}^2\), this expression simplifies to

\[
H_s(r) = 1 - e^{-\lambda\pi r^2}.
\]
10.3 Nearest neighbour function

For a Poisson point process $N$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with intensity measure $\Lambda$ the nearest neighbour function is:

$$D_x(r) = 1 - e^{-\Lambda(b(x,r))},$$

which for the homogeneous case becomes

$$D_x(r) = 1 - e^{-\lambda|b(x,r)|},$$

where $|b(x,r)|$ denotes the volume (or the Lebesgue measure) of the (hyper) ball of radius $r$. In the plane $\mathbb{R}^2$ with the reference point located at the origin denoted by $o$, this becomes

$$D_o(r) = 1 - e^{-\lambda\pi r^2}.$$

10.4 Relationship between $D_o(r)$ and $H_s(r)$

For Poisson point processes, the spherical contact distribution function $H_s(r)$ coincides with the corresponding nearest neighbour function $D_o(r)$, defined in relation to a point being located at the origin $o$. In general, these two functions do not coincide for all point processes [68]. For the Poisson process they coincide due to a unique property of the process and its Palm distribution, which forms part of the result known as the Slivnyak-Mecke theorem [6] or Slivnyaks theorem [9].

10.4.1 $J$-function

The fact that the first spherical distribution function coincides and nearest neighbour function are identical can be used to statistically test if a point process data appears to be that of a Poisson process. For example, in spatial statistics the $J$-function is defined for all $r \geq 0$ as [68]:

$$J(r) = \frac{1 - D_o(r)}{1 - H_s(r)}$$

For a Poisson point process, the $J$ function is simply $J(r) = 1$, hence why it is used to test whether data behaves as though it were from a Poisson process. More generally, $J$-function serves as one way to measure the interaction between points in a point process. For empirical point process data, the functions $H$ and $D$ need to be replaced with statistical estimators of these functions, which is a topic of interest in spatial statistics [68][9].
10.5 Laplace functionals

The Laplace functional [68, 18] of a point process \( N \) is defined as [6]:

\[
L_N = E[e^{-\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)N(dx)}],
\]

where \( f \) is any measurable non-negative function on \( \mathbb{R}^d \) and

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)N(dx) = \sum_{x_i \in N} f(x_i).
\]

The Laplace functional can be used to prove various results about certain point processes [6, 18].

For a Poisson point process \( N \) with intensity measure \( \Lambda \), the Laplace functional is a consequence of Campbells theorem [39] and is given by [6]:

\[
L_N = e^{-\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1-e^{f(x)}) \Lambda(dx)},
\]

which for the homogeneous case is:

\[
L_N = e^{-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1-e^{f(x)}) dx}.
\]

10.6 Probability generating functionals

The probability generating function of non-negative integer-valued random variable leads to the probability generating functional being defined analogously with respect to any non-negative bounded function \( v \) on \( \mathbb{R}^d \) such that \( 0 \leq v(x) \leq 1 \). For a point process \( N \) the probability generating functional is defined as [68]:

\[
G(v) = E\left[\prod_{x \in N} v(x)\right]
\]

where the product is performed for all the points in \( N \). If the intensity measure \( \Lambda \) of \( N \) is locally finite, then the \( G \) is well-defined for any measurable function \( u \) on \( \mathbb{R}^d \). For a Poisson point process with intensity measure \( \Lambda \) the generating functional is given by:

\[
G(v) = e^{-\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1-v(x)) \Lambda(dx)},
\]

which in the homogeneous case reduces to

\[
G(v) = e^{-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1-v(x)) dx}.
\]
11 Point process operations

Mathematical operations can be performed on point processes in order to develop suitable mathematical models. One example of an operation is known as thinning which entails deleting or removing the points of some point process according to a rule, hence creating a new process with the remaining points (the deleted points also form a point process). Another example is superimposing (or combining) point processes into one point process.

One of the reasons why the Poisson point process is often used as model is that, under suitable conditions, when performed on a Poisson point process these operations often produce another (usually different) Poisson point process, demonstrating an aspect of mathematical closure [39]. The operations can also be used to create new point processes, which are then also used as mathematical models for the random placement of certain objects [68][6].

11.1 Thinning

For the Poisson process, the independent $p(x)$-thinning operations results in another Poisson point process. More specifically, a $p(x)$-thinning operation applied to a Poisson point process with intensity measure $\Lambda$ gives a point process of removed points that is also Poisson point process $N_p$ with intensity measure $\Lambda_p$, which for a bounded Borel set $B$ is given by:

$$\Lambda_p(B) = \int_B p(x)\Lambda(dx)$$

Furthermore, after randomly thinning a Poisson point process, the kept or remaining points also form a Poisson point process, which has the intensity measure

$$\Lambda_p(B) = \int_B (1 - p(x))\Lambda(dx).$$

The two separate Poisson point processes formed respectively from the removed and kept points are stochastically independent to each other [39][68]. In other words, if a region is known to contain $n$ kept points (from the original Poisson point process), then this will have no influence on the random number of removed points in the same region. This ability to randomly create two independent Poisson point processes from one is sometimes known as splitting [13][31] the Poisson point process.
11.2 Superposition

If there is a countable collection of point processes \( N_1, N_2, \ldots \), then their superposition, or, in set theory language, their union

\[
N = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} N_i,
\]

also forms a point process. In other words, any points located in any of the point processes \( N_1, N_2 \ldots \) will also be located in the superposition of these point processes \( N \).

11.3 Superposition theorem

The superposition theorem of the Poisson point process, which stems directly from the complete independence property, says \cite{39} that the superposition of independent Poisson point processes \( N_1, N_2 \ldots \) with mean measures \( \Lambda_1, \Lambda_2, \ldots \) will also be a Poisson point process with mean measure

\[
\Lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \Lambda_i.
\]

In other words, the union of two (or countably more) Poisson processes is another Poisson process. If a point \( x \) is sampled from a countable \( n \) union of Poisson processes, then the probability that the point \( x \) belongs to the \( j \)th Poisson process \( N_j \) is given by:

\[
P(x \in N_j) = \frac{\Lambda_j}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Lambda_i}.
\]

11.3.1 Homogeneous case

In the homogeneous case with constant \( \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \ldots \), the two previous expressions reduce to

\[
\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i,
\]

and

\[
P(x \in N_j) = \frac{\lambda_j}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i}.
\]

11.4 Clustering

The operation clustering is performed when each point \( x \) of some point process \( N \) is replaced by another (possibly different) point process. If the original process \( N \) is a Poisson point process, then the resulting process \( N_c \) is called a Poisson cluster point process.
11.5 Random displacement

A mathematical model may require randomly moving points of a point process to other locations on the underlying mathematical space, which gives rise to a point process operation known as displacement [39] or translation [19]. The Poisson point process has been used to model, for example, the movement of plants between generations, owing to the displacement theorem [39], which loosely says that the random independent displacement of points of a Poisson point process (on the same underlying space) forms another Poisson point process.

11.5.1 Displacement theorem

One version of the displacement theorem [39] entails first considering a Poisson point process $N$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with intensity function $\lambda(x)$. It is then assumed the points of $N$ are randomly displaced somewhere else in $\mathbb{R}^d$ so that each points displacement is independent and that the displacement of a point formerly at $x$ is a random vector with a probability density $\rho(x, \cdot)$.

Then the new point process $N_D$ is also a Poisson point process with intensity function

$$\lambda_D(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \lambda(x) \rho(x, y) dx,$$

which for the homogeneous case with a constant $\lambda > 0$ means

$$\lambda_D(y) = \lambda.$$

In other words, after each random and independent displacement of points, the original Poisson point process still exists.

The displacement theorem can be extended such that the Poisson points are randomly displaced from one Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^d$ to another Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^d$, where $d \geq 1$ is not necessarily equal to $d$ [6].

11.6 Mapping

Another property that is considered useful is the ability to map a Poisson point process from one underlying space to another space [39]. If the mapping (or transformation) adheres to some conditions, then the resulting mapped (or transformed) collection of points also form a Poisson point process. This result is sometimes called the Mapping theorem [39][69][25].

[6] Kingman [39] calls this a probability density, but in other resources this is called a probability kernel [6], which is a general object used in other areas of probability such as Markov chains [36].
11.7 Mapping theorem

The Mapping theorem involves a Poisson point process with mean measure $\Lambda$ on some underlying space. If the locations of the points are mapped (that is, the point process is transformed) according to some function to another underlying space, then the resulting point process is also a Poisson point process but with a different mean measure $\Lambda$.

More specifically, one can consider a (Borel measurable) function $f$ that maps a point process $N$ with intensity measure $\Lambda$ from one space $S$, to another space $T$ in such a manner so that the new point process $N$ has the intensity measure:

$$\Lambda(B) = \Lambda(f^{-1}(B))$$

with no atoms, where $B$ is a Borel set and $f^{-1}$ denotes the inverse of the function $f$. If $N$ is a Poisson point process, then the new process $N$ is also a Poisson point process with the intensity measure $\Lambda$.

12 Approximation with a Poisson point process

The tractability of the Poisson process means that sometimes it is convenient to approximate a non-Poisson point process with a Poisson one. The overall aim is to approximate the both number of points of some point process and the location of each point by a Poisson point process [14]. There are a number of methods that can be used to justify, informally or rigorously, the approximating of random events or phenomena with suitable Poisson processes. The more rigorous methods involve deriving upper bounds on the probability metrics between the Poisson and non-Poisson point processes, while other methods can be justified by less formal heuristics [4].

12.1 Clumping heuristic

One such method for approximating random events or phenomena with Poisson processes is called the “clumping heuristic” [1]. The general heuristic or principle involves using the Poisson point process (or Poisson distribution) to approximate events, which are considered rare or unlikely, of some stochastic process. In some cases these rare events are close to independent, hence a Poisson point process can be used. When the events are not independent, but tend to occur in clusters or “clumps,” then if these clumps are suitably defined such that they are approximately independent to each other, then the number of clumps occurring will be close to a Poisson random variable [4] and the locations of the clumps will be close to a Poisson process [1].
12.2 Steins method

Steins method or the Stein-Chen method, a rigorous mathematical technique originally developed for approximating random variables such as Gaussian and Poisson variables, has also been developed and applied to stochastic processes such as point processes. Steins method can be used to derive upper bounds on probability metrics, which give way to quantify how different two random mathematical objects vary stochastically, of the Poisson and non-Poisson point processes [10][14]. Upper bounds on probability metrics such as total variation and Wasserstein distance have been derived [14].

Researchers have applied Steins method to Poisson point processes in a number of ways [14], including using Palm theory [15]. Techniques based on Steins method have been developed to factor into the upper bounds the effects of certain point process operations such as thinning and superposition [62][63]. Steins method has also been used to derive upper bounds on metrics of non-Poisson and Poisson-based processes such as the Cox point process, which is a Poisson process with a random intensity measure [14].

13 Convergence to a Poisson point process

In general, when a operation is applied to a general point process the resulting process is usually not be a Poisson point process. For example, if a point process, other than a Poisson, has its points randomly and independently displaced, then the process would not necessarily be a Poisson point process. However, under certain mathematical conditions for both the original point process and the random displacement, it has been shown via limit theorems that if the points of a point process are repeatedly displaced in a random and independent manner, then the finite-distribution of the point process will converge (weakly) to that of a Poisson point process [19].

Similar convergence results have been developed for thinning and superposition operations [19] that show that such repeated operations on point processes can, under certain conditions, result in the process converging to a Poisson point processes, provided a suitable rescaling of the intensity measure (otherwise values of the intensity measure would approach zero or infinity). Such convergence work is directly related to the results known as the Palm–Khinchin\footnote{Also spelt Palm–Khintchine in, for example, Point Processes by Cox and Isham [17]} equations, which has its origins in the work of Conny Palm and Aleksandr Khinchin [19], and help explains why the Poisson process can often be used as a mathematical model of various random phenomena.
14 Generalizations of Poisson point processes

The Poisson point process can be generalized by, for example, changing its intensity measure or defining on more general mathematical spaces. These generalizations can be studied mathematically as well as used to mathematically model or represent physical phenomena.

14.1 Poisson point processes on more general spaces

For mathematical models the Poisson point process is often defined in Euclidean space, but has been generalized to more abstract spaces and plays a fundamental role in the study of random measures [39], which requires an understanding of certain mathematical fields such as probability theory, measure theory, topology and functional analysis [19].

In general, the concept of distance is of practical interest for applications while topological structure is needed for Palm distributions, hence point processes are often defined on mathematical spaces equipped with metrics [21, Chapter 9]. The necessity of convergence of sequences requires the space to be complete, which has led to the Poisson and other point processes being defined and studied on specific metric spaces [18].

Every realization of a point process in general can be regarded as a counting measure, which has motivated point processes being considered as random measures [23]. Using the techniques of random measures, the Poisson and other point processes has been defined and studied on a locally compact second countable Hausdorff space [35].

14.2 Cox processes

A Poisson point process can be generalized by letting its intensity measure $\Lambda$ also be random and independent of the underlying Poisson process, which gives rise to the Cox process or doubly stochastic Poisson process, introduced by David Cox in 1955 under the latter name [39]. The intensity measure may be a realization of random variable or a random field. For example, if the logarithm of the intensity measure is a Gaussian field, then the resulting process is known as a log Gaussian Cox process [49]. More generally, the intensity measures is a realization of a non-negative locally finite random measure. Cox processes exhibit a clustering of points, which can be shown mathematically to be larger than those of Poisson point processes. The generality and tractability of Cox processes has resulted in them being used as models in fields such as spatial statistics [51].
14.3 Marked Poisson processes

For a given point process, each random point of a point process can have a random mathematical object, known as a "mark", assigned to it. These marks can be as diverse as integers, real numbers, lines, geometrical objects or other point processes [50]. The pair consisting of a point of the point process and its corresponding mark is called a marked point, and all the marked points form a marked point process [9]. It is often assumed that the random marks are independent to each other and identically distributed, which makes the process easier to work with, yet the mark of a point can still depend on its corresponding point [39]. If the underlying point process is a Poisson point process, then one obtains the marked Poisson point process.

14.3.1 Marking theorem

If a general point process is defined on some mathematical space and the random marks are defined on another mathematical space, then the marked point process is defined on the Cartesian product of these two spaces. For a marked Poisson point process with independent and identically distributed marks, the Marking theorem [39] states that this marked point process is also a (non-marked) Poisson point process defined on the aforementioned Cartesian product of the mathematical spaces, which is not true for general point processes.

14.4 Compound Poisson processes

The compound Poisson process is a stochastic process formed by adding random values or weights to each point of Poisson point process defined on some underlying state space, which means it is a formed from a marked Poisson point process, where the marks form a collection of independent and identically distributed non-negative random variables [18]. In other words, for each point of the original Poisson process, there is an independent and identically distributed non-negative random variable. The compound Poisson process is then formed from the sum of all the random variables corresponding to points of the Poisson process located in a some region of the underlying mathematical space, where the number of random variables or points is a Poisson random variable.

For example, consider a marked Poisson point processes formed from a Poisson point process $N$ (defined on, for example, $\mathbb{R}^d$) and a collection of independent and identically distributed non-negative marks $\{M_i\}$ such that for each point $x_i$ of the Poisson process $N$ there is a non-negative random variable $M_i$. The resulting compound Poisson process is then

$$C(B) = \sum_{i=1}^{N(B)} M_i,$$
where $B \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is a Borel measurable set. If the collection of random variables or marks $\{M_i\}$ are non-negative integer-valued random variables, then the resulting process is called a compound Poisson counting process \cite{Kingman1993}

### 15 Further reading

The definite reference on the Poisson point process is the monograph by Kingman, where he defines and studies the point process in a general setting, and details connections to it and completely random measures and the Poisson-Dirichlet process. Detailed accounts on the theory and history of point processes in general is given by the two-volume by Daley and Vere-Jones \cite{Daley1988,Daley2003}, which are both available online with subscription; see Chapter 1 in the first volume for a historical treatment of point processes. Detailed and interesting historical of the Poisson point process are in the articles by Stirzaker \cite{Stirzaker1994} and Guttorp and Thórarinsdottir \cite{Guttorp2012}. The text by Isham and Cox is also a good starting point on point processes.

Random measures are treated by Kallenberg \cite{Kallenberg2007} and in the second volume of Daley and Vere-Jones\cite{Daley2003}.

The general text on stochastic geometry is Mecke, Kendall, and Stoyan, also see Mecke, Kendall and Stoyan for an updated edition. See the published lecture notes by Baddeley \cite{Baddeley2010} for an introduction to spatial point processes in in the context of spatial statisticians.
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