

Solutions for Tutorial 2

Topic 1: Algebras. 1. One definition of algebra is the following: Let R be a commutative ring. An R -algebra is a ring A , together with a ring homomorphism from R to A , such that the image of R is contained in the centre of A . Another is in terms of a scalar multiplication of R on A : an algebra is an R -module A , together with an R -bilinear ring multiplication, i.e., a binary operation $\cdot : A \times A \rightarrow A$ satisfying associativity and distributivity, possessing a unit 1 and such that

$$(\forall r \in R, a, b \in A) \quad (ra) \cdot b = r(a \cdot b) = a \cdot (rb).$$

Indeed, given A satisfying the second definition, we have the map $r \mapsto r1_A$, which takes values in the centre of A . Conversely, any ring homomorphism $\eta : R \rightarrow A$ equips A with a scalar multiplication via $ra := \eta(r) \cdot a$, and if the image of η is central in A , the multiplication on A is R -bilinear.

2. The ring homomorphisms

$$\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \tag{1}$$

$$a \mapsto a + 4\mathbb{Z}$$

$$\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \text{Mat}_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{C}) \tag{2}$$

$$z \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 \\ 0 & z \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[x] \tag{3}$$

$$\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[i] \tag{4}$$

$$\mathbb{Z}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[i] \tag{5}$$

$$x \mapsto i$$

$$\mathbb{Q} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}[i] \tag{6}$$

$$\mathbb{R} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{H} \tag{7}$$

all have images contained in the centres of their targets. There are of course more possibilities, e.g.,

$$\mathbb{Z}/24\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$$

$$a \mapsto a + 4\mathbb{Z}.$$

Note that the inclusion of the complex numbers \mathbb{C} in the quaternions \mathbb{H} is not an example: \mathbb{C} is not central in \mathbb{H} . The matrix example is maybe the most instructive, as you are used to thinking of the vector space of matrices. So, you may think of an algebra as a ring with some extra structure.

Topic 2: Quotient rings Let R be a ring, and let I be a two-sided ideal of R .

1. Show that there is a unique ring structure on the set of cosets R/I (with respect to which operation?) such that the quotient map

$$q : R \longrightarrow R/I$$

is a ring homomorphism. First, an ideal is a subgroup of $(R, +)$, which is an abelian group, so I is automatically normal. The monoid (R, \cdot) , on the other hand, might not be a group, and the ideal property $(\forall r \in R)(r \cdot I = I)$ illustrates just how much can go wrong when attempting to partition a monoid into “cosets”. The quotient therefore refers to the cosets of I in R with respect to $+$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} q : R &\longrightarrow R/I \\ r &\longmapsto r + I. \end{aligned}$$

This is surjective, and we already know that the quotient is a group with respect to $+$. Our only chance of defining a multiplication on R/I making q a ring map is to set $q(r) \cdot q(s) := q(r \cdot s)$. To see that this is well defined, assume $r \equiv r' \pmod{I}$ and $s \equiv s' \pmod{I}$. Then we have

$$r \cdot s - r' \cdot s' = r(s - s') + (r - r')s' \in I.$$

The last step uses that I is a two-sided ideal and is, in fact, the motivation for that definition.

2. The kernel of q is the coset of $0 + I = I$.

Topic 3: Third isomorphism theorem for rings Let I and J be two-sided ideals of R such that $I \subseteq J \subseteq R$.

1. Show that J/I is an ideal in the quotient ring R/I . First, J/I is non-empty, because it contains the coset I . Let a and b be elements of J , and let $r \in R$. Then we have $(a + I) - (b + I) = (a - b) + I$. This is again an element of J/I . Further $(r + I) \cdot (a + I) = r \cdot a + I$ is an element of J/I , and similarly for $a \cdot r$.
2. Show that there is a canonical isomorphism of rings

$$(R/I)/(J/I) \cong R/J.$$

By the first isomorphism theorem, it suffices to identify the kernel of the surjective map

$$\begin{aligned} R/I &\longrightarrow R/J \\ a + I &\longmapsto a + J \end{aligned}$$

with the ideal J/I . Note first that this map is well defined, because $a \equiv b \pmod{I}$ implies $a \equiv b \pmod{J}$, i.e., the equivalence relation induced by J is strictly coarser than that induced by I . The kernel consists of all cosets $a + I$ with $a + J = 0 + J = J$. The latter is equivalent to $a \in J$.

3. Show that every ideal of R/I is of the form J/I for some ideal J of R containing I . Let $K \subseteq R/I$ be an ideal. Let $q : R \rightarrow R/I$ be the quotient map as in Topic 2, and set $J := q^{-1}(K)$. Then $k \in K$ if and only if $k = j + I$ for some $j \in J$. We need to show that J is an ideal. J is non-empty, since it contains 0. Given a and b in J and $r \in R$, we have $q(a - b) = q(a) - q(b) \in K$, so $a - b \in q^{-1}(K) = J$. Further $q(ra) = q(r)q(a)$ is in K , since $q(a)$ is, so ra is an element of J , and similarly for ar .