Topological recursion on the Bessel curve

Norman Do and Paul Norbury

The Witten–Kontsevich theorem states that a certain generating function for intersection numbers on the moduli space of stable curves is a tau-function for the KdV integrable hierarchy. This generating function can be recovered via the topological recursion applied to the Airy curve $x = \frac{1}{2}y^2$. In this paper, we consider the topological recursion applied to the irregular spectral curve $xy^2 = \frac{1}{2}$, which we call the *Bessel curve*. We prove that the associated partition function is also a KdV tau-function, which satisfies Virasoro constraints, a cut-and-join type recursion, and a quantum curve equation. Together, the Airy and Bessel curves govern the local behaviour of all spectral curves with simple branch points.

Contents

1	1 Introduction			1
2	Topological recursion on the Bessel curve 2.1 Topological recursion			3
	2.1 Topological recurs	ion		3
	2.2 The Bessel curve .			4
3	Integrability for the Bessel partition function			6
	3.1 Virasoro constrair	ts		6
	3.2 KdV integrability			7
	3.3 A cut-and-join evo	olution equation		7
4 The quantum curve			9	
Re	References			10

1 Introduction

The topological recursion of Chekhov, Eynard and Orantin takes as input the data of a spectral curve, essentially a Riemann surface C equipped with two meromorphic functions and a bidifferential satisfying some mild assumptions [13, 26]. From this information, it produces so-called correlation differentials $\omega_{g,n}$ on C for integers $g \ge 0$ and $n \ge 1$. Although topological recursion was originally inspired by the loop equations in the theory of matrix models, it has over the last decade found widespread applications to various problems across mathematics and physics. For example, it is known to govern the enumeration of maps on surfaces [3, 18, 19, 21, 22, 31, 35], various flavours of Hurwitz problems [9, 11, 16, 17, 24], and the Gromov–Witten theory of \mathbb{P}^1 [23, 36] and toric Calabi–Yau threefolds [10, 25, 28]. There are also conjectural relations to quantum invariants of knots [6, 15]. Much of the power of the topological recursion lies in its universality — in other words, its wide applicability across broad classes of problems — and its ability to reveal commonality among such problems.

One common feature of the problems governed by topological recursion is that their associated correlation differentials often possess the same local behaviour. In particular, the fact that spectral curves generically resemble $x = \frac{1}{2}y^2$ locally lifts to a statement concerning the associated correlation differentials. The invariants $\omega_{g,n}$ of the *Airy curve* $x = \frac{1}{2}y^2$ are total derivatives of the following generating functions for intersection numbers of Chern classes of the tautological line bundles \mathcal{L}_i on the moduli space of stable curves $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ [27].

$$K_{g,n}(z_1,\ldots,z_n) = \frac{1}{2^{2g-2+n}} \sum_{|\mathbf{d}|=3g-3+n} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}} c_1(\mathcal{L}_1)^{d_1} \cdots c_1(\mathcal{L}_n)^{d_n} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{(2d_i-1)!!}{z_i^{2d_i+1}}$$

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 14N10; 05A15; 32G15.

Date: 2 September 2016

The first author was supported by the Australian Research Council grant DE130100650.

The usual assumption on spectral curves is that the zeroes of dx are simple and away from the zeroes of dy. (Higher order zeros of dx can often be handled via the global topological recursion of Bouchard and Eynard [8].) However, an implicit assumption that appears in the literature is that y has no pole at a zero of dx, in which case we say that the spectral curve is regular. In previous work [20], the authors consider irregular spectral curves, in which poles of y may coincide with a zero of dx. If the pole has order greater than one, then that particular branch point makes no contribution to the correlation differentials and can be removed from the spectral curve. On the other hand, when the pole is simple, non-trivial correlation differentials arise. We note that irregular spectral curves do arise "in nature", for example in matrix models with hard edge behaviour [2] and the enumeration of dessins d'enfant [20, 31].

The previous discussion leads us naturally to consider the $Bessel\ curve$, defined by the meromorphic functions 1

$$x(z) = \frac{1}{2}z^2$$
 and $y(z) = \frac{1}{z}$.

For 2g - 2 + n > 0, the correlation differentials produced by the topological recursion have an expansion

$$\omega_{g,n}(z_1,\ldots,z_n) = \sum_{\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_n=1}^{\infty} U_{g,n}(\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_n) \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\mu_i \, \mathrm{d} z_i}{z_i^{\mu_i+1}}.$$

From these expansion coefficients, we define the Bessel partition function

$$Z(p_1, p_2, ...; \hbar) = \exp \left[\sum_{g=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\mu_1, ..., \mu_n=1}^{\infty} U_{g,n}(\mu_1, ..., \mu_n) \frac{\hbar^{2g-2+n}}{n!} p_{\mu_1} \cdots p_{\mu_n} \right]$$

and its associated wave function via the so-called principal specialisation

$$\psi(z,\hbar)=\left.Z(p_1,p_2,\ldots;\hbar)\right|_{p_i=z^{-i}}.$$

The main theme and motivation behind this paper is that statements concerning the Airy curve and its relation to the Kontsevich–Witten KdV tau-function have analogues in the case of the Bessel curve. In particular, topological recursion applied to the Bessel curve is fundamentally related to the Brézin–Gross–Witten (BGW) tau-function for the KdV hierarchy. This is to be expected, since an irregular spectral curve represents so-called hard edge behaviour in matrix models — see for example the Laguerre model [12], which is related to the BGW tau-function via matrix model techniques [34].

The modest contribution of this paper is a direct proof of the relationship between the BGW tau-function and topological recursion applied to the Bessel curve. We make this connection by deriving Virasoro constraints for the partition function arising from the topological recursion and comparing these to Virasoro constraints for the BGW tau-function [1, 2, 34]. Alexandrov has recently proven Virasoro constraints, a cut-and-join equation and a quantum curve for the BGW tau-function using matrix model methods and a beautiful description of the point in the Sato Grassmannian determined by the tau-function [1]. Once the link between the topological recursion and the BGW tau-function is established in Theorem 4, further properties of the associated partition function — Virasoro constraints, a cut-and-join equation and a quantum curve — are equivalent to those of Alexandrov. The topological recursion viewpoint helps to explain these properties. The Virasoro constraints are fundamental to the topological recursion particularly via Kazarian's treatment [31]; the cut-and-join equation is essentially another way to express the topological recursion, as shown in Theorem 5; and the quantum curve is expected to be related to the topological recursion via a WKB expansion. Although the topological recursion helps to explain the aforementioned properties, it does not provide an explanation for the relationship with KdV integrability.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank Alexander Alexandrov for numerous discussions.

 $^{^1}$ The name Bessel curve is derived from its quantum curve, which is given by a modified Bessel equation — see Section 4.

2 Topological recursion on the Bessel curve

2.1 Topological recursion

We briefly recall the construction of the correlation differentials for a rational spectral curve via topological recursion. A statement of the topological recursion in greater generality — for example, in the case of higher genus spectral curves, locally-defined spectral curves, or spectral curves with non-simple branch points — can be found elsewhere in the literature [8, 23, 26].

- **Input.** A rational spectral curve consists of the data of two meromorphic functions x and y on \mathbb{CP}^1 . We assume that each zero of dx is simple and does not coincide with a zero of dy. The topological recursion defines symmetric meromorphic multidifferentials $\omega_{g,n}$ on $(\mathbb{CP}^1)^n$ for $g \ge 0$ and $n \ge 1$. We refer to these as *correlation differentials*.
- **Base cases.** The base cases for the topological recursion are given by

$$\omega_{0,1}(z_1) = -y(z_1) dx(z_1)$$
 and $\omega_{0,2}(z_1, z_2) = \frac{dz_1 \otimes dz_2}{(z_1 - z_2)^2}$.

■ **Recursion.** The correlation differentials $\omega_{g,n}$ for 2g - 2 + n > 0 are defined recursively via the following equation.

$$\omega_{g,n}(z_1,\boldsymbol{z}_S) = \sum_{\mathbf{d}x(\alpha)=0} \operatorname{Res}_{z=\alpha}^{\mathbf{K}} K(z_1,z) \left[\omega_{g-1,n+1}(z,\overline{z},\boldsymbol{z}_S) + \sum_{\substack{g_1+g_2=g\\I \cup I=S}}^{\circ} \omega_{g_1,|I|+1}(z,\boldsymbol{z}_I) \, \omega_{g_2,|I|+1}(\overline{z},\boldsymbol{z}_J) \right]$$

Here, we use the notation $S = \{2, 3, ..., n\}$ and $z_I = \{z_{i_1}, z_{i_2}, ..., z_{i_k}\}$ for $I = \{i_1, i_2, ..., i_k\}$. The outer summation is over the zeroes of dx, which we refer to as *branch points*. The function $z \mapsto \overline{z}$ denotes the meromorphic involution defined locally at the branch point α satisfying $x(\overline{z}) = x(z)$ and $\overline{z} \neq z$. The symbol \circ over the inner summation means that we exclude any term that involves $\omega_{0,1}$. Finally, the recursion kernel is given by

$$K(z_1,z) = -\frac{\int_{\infty}^{z} \omega_{0,2}(z_1,\cdot)}{[y(z)-y(\overline{z})] dx(z)}.$$

In previous work, the authors considered the local behaviour of spectral curves and their correlation differentials, and classified branch points into the following three types [20].

- **Regular.** We say that a branch point is *regular* if y(z) is analytic there. In this case, there is a pole of $\omega_{g,n}$ of order 6g 4 + 2n at the branch point, for 2g 2 + n > 0. Note that some of the previous literature on the topological recursion implicitly assumes that the spectral curves under consideration only have regular branch points.
- **Irregular.** We say that a branch point is *irregular* if y(z) has a simple pole there. In this case, there is a pole of $\omega_{g,n}$ of order 2g at the branch point, for 2g 2 + n > 0.
- **Removable.** We say that a branch point is *removable* if y(z) has a higher order pole there. In this case, the recursion kernel has a zero at the branch point and there is no contribution to the correlation differentials coming from the residue at the branch point.

At a regular branch point, a spectral curve locally resembles the Airy curve, which is given by

$$x(z) = \frac{1}{2}z^2$$
 and $y(z) = z$.

²By a multidifferential on C^n , we mean a meromorphic section of the line bundle $\pi_1^*(T^*C) \otimes \pi_2^*(T^*C) \otimes \cdots \otimes \pi_n^*(T^*C)$ on the Cartesian product C^n , where $\pi_i: C^n \to C$ denotes projection onto the ith factor. We often drop the symbol \otimes when writing multidifferentials.

This property lifts to the fact that the correlation differentials for an arbitrary spectral curve expanded at a regular branch point behave asymptotically like the correlation differentials for the Airy curve [27]. Similarly, the correlation differentials for an irregular spectral curve expanded at an irregular branch point behave asymptotically like the correlation differentials for the Bessel curve, which we examine in detail below [20].

2.2 The Bessel curve

Define the Bessel curve to be the rational spectral curve endowed with the meromorphic functions

$$x(z) = \frac{1}{2}z^2$$
 and $y(z) = \frac{1}{z}$.

The base cases of the topological recursion are given by

$$\omega_{0,1}(z_1) = -y(z_1) \, \mathrm{d}x(z_1) = -\mathrm{d}z_1$$
 and $\omega_{0,2}(z_1, z_2) = \frac{\mathrm{d}z_1 \, \mathrm{d}z_2}{(z_1 - z_2)^2}$.

The spectral curve has only one branch point, which occurs at z=0, and the local involution there is simply $\bar{z}=-z$. Thus, the recursion kernel can be expressed as

$$K(z_1,z) = -\frac{\int_{\infty}^{z} \omega_{0,2}(z_1,\cdot)}{[y(z)-y(\overline{z})] dx(z)} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{z-z_1} \frac{dz_1}{dz}.$$

For 2g - 2 + n > 0 and positive integers μ_1, \ldots, μ_n , define the number $U_{g,n}(\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_n)$ via the expansion

$$\omega_{g,n}(z_1,\ldots,z_n) = \sum_{\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_n=1}^{\infty} U_{g,n}(\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_n) \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\mu_i \, \mathrm{d} z_i}{z_i^{\mu_i+1}}.$$

Note that such an expansion must exist, since $\omega_{g,n}$ is meromorphic with poles occurring only at the branch point $z_i = 0$, where there is no residue. By convention, we define $U_{0,1}(\mu_1) = 0$ and $U_{0,2}(\mu_1, \mu_2) = 0$.

Proposition 1. *For* 2g - 2 + n > 0 *and* $S = \{2, 3, ..., n\}$,

$$\mu_{1} U_{g,n}(\mu_{1}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_{S}) = \sum_{k=2}^{n} (\mu_{1} + \mu_{k} - 1) U_{g,n-1}(\mu_{1} + \mu_{k} - 1, \boldsymbol{\mu}_{S \setminus \{k\}})$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{\alpha + \beta = \mu_{1} - 1 \\ \alpha, \beta \text{ odd}}} \alpha \beta \left[U_{g-1,n+1}(\alpha, \beta, \boldsymbol{\mu}_{S}) + \sum_{\substack{g_{1} + g_{2} = g \\ I \sqcup J = S}} U_{g_{1},|I|+1}(\alpha, \boldsymbol{\mu}_{I}) U_{g_{2},|J|+1}(\beta, \boldsymbol{\mu}_{J}) \right].$$

$$(1)$$

Moreover, all numbers $U_{g,n}(\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_n)$ can be calculated from the base cases $U_{0,1}(\mu_1)=0$, $U_{0,2}(\mu_1,\mu_2)=0$ and $U_{1,1}(1)=\frac{1}{8}$.

Proof. Suppose that the numbers $\widetilde{U}_{g,n}(\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_n)$ are defined from the recursion above and the given base cases. It is straightforward to show that these numbers are uniquely defined and that $\widetilde{U}_{g,n}(\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_n)=0$ unless μ_1,\ldots,μ_n are positive odd integers that sum to 2g-2+n. In particular, $U_{0,n}(\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_n)=0$ and the generating function

$$F_{g,n}(z_1,\ldots,z_n) = (-1)^n \sum_{\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_n=1}^{\infty} \widetilde{U}_{g,n}(\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_n) \prod_{i=1}^n z_i^{-\mu_i}$$

is a homogeneous polynomial in $\frac{1}{z_1}$, $\frac{1}{z_2}$, ..., $\frac{1}{z_n}$ that is odd in each variable. The proposition will follow directly from the fact that $\widetilde{\omega}_{g,n} = \omega_{g,n}$ for 2g-2+n>0, where the $\widetilde{\omega}_{g,n}$ are total derivatives of these generating functions.

$$\widetilde{\omega}_{g,n}(z_1,\ldots,z_n)=\mathrm{d}_{z_1}\cdots\mathrm{d}_{z_n}F_{g,n}(z_1,\ldots,z_n)$$

It is straightforward to verify that $\widetilde{\omega}_{1,1} = \omega_{1,1}$ and $\widetilde{\omega}_{0,3} = \omega_{0,3}$ by direct computation. We will now proceed to show that $\widetilde{\omega}_{g,n} = \omega_{g,n}$ by induction on 2g - 2 + n.

Start by multiplying both sides of the recursion by $z_1^{-\mu_1-1}z_2^{-\mu_2}\cdots z_n^{-\mu_n}$ and sum over all positive integers μ_1,\ldots,μ_n to obtain the following.

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} F_{g,n}(z_1, \boldsymbol{z}_S) &= \sum_{k=2}^n \frac{z_k}{z_1^2 - z_k^2} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} F_{g,n-1}(z_1, \boldsymbol{z}_{S \setminus \{k\}}) - \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k} F_{g,n-1}(\boldsymbol{z}_S) \right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t_1 \partial t_2} F_{g-1,n+1}(t_1, t_2, \boldsymbol{z}_S) \right]_{\substack{t_1 = z_1 \\ t_2 = z_1}} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{g_1 + g_2 = g \\ I \sqcup I = S}} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} F_{g_1,|I|+1}(z_1, \boldsymbol{z}_I) \right] \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} F_{g_2,|I|+1}(z_1, \boldsymbol{z}_I) \right] \end{split}$$

Now apply $\frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} \cdots \frac{\partial}{\partial z_n}$ to both sides and introduce the notation $W_{g,n}(z_1,\ldots,z_n) = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} \cdots \frac{\partial}{\partial z_n} F_{g,n}(z_1,\ldots,z_n)$.

$$\begin{split} W_{g,n}(z_1, \boldsymbol{z}_S) &= \sum_{k=2}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k} \frac{z_k}{z_1^2 - z_k^2} \left[W_{g,n-1}(z_1, \boldsymbol{z}_{S \setminus \{k\}}) - W_{g,n-1}(\boldsymbol{z}_S) \right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} W_{g-1,n+1}(z_1, z_1, \boldsymbol{z}_S) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{g_1 + g_2 = g \\ I \cup J = S}} W_{g_1,|I|+1}(z_1, \boldsymbol{z}_I) \, W_{g_2,|J|+1}(z_1, \boldsymbol{z}_J) \end{split}$$

The fact that $F_{g,n}$ is odd in each variable implies that $\widetilde{\omega}_{g,n}$ is as well. So after multiplying both sides of the previous equation by $dz_1 \cdots dz_n$, we obtain the following.

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{\omega}_{g,n}(z_1, \boldsymbol{z}_S) &= \sum_{k=2}^n \left[\mathrm{d} z_k \frac{z_1^2 + z_k^2}{(z_1^2 - z_k^2)^2} \widetilde{\omega}_{g,n-1}(z_1, \boldsymbol{z}_{S \setminus \{k\}}) - \mathrm{d} z_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k} \frac{z_k}{z_1^2 - z_k^2} \widetilde{\omega}_{g,n-1}(\boldsymbol{z}_S) \right] \\ &- \frac{1}{2 \, \mathrm{d} z_1} \widetilde{\omega}_{g-1,n+1}(z_1, \overline{z}_1, \boldsymbol{z}_S) - \frac{1}{2 \, \mathrm{d} z_1} \sum_{\substack{g_1 + g_2 = g \\ I \sqcup J = S}} \widetilde{\omega}_{g_1,|I|+1}(z_1, \boldsymbol{z}_I) \, \widetilde{\omega}_{g_2,|J|+1}(\overline{z}_1, \boldsymbol{z}_J) \end{split}$$

Note that a meromorphic 1-form on \mathbb{CP}^1 is equal to the sum of its principal parts, which may be stated as

$$\widetilde{\omega}(z_1) = \sum_{\alpha} \underset{z=\alpha}{\operatorname{Res}} \frac{\mathrm{d}z_1}{z_1 - z} \widetilde{\omega}(z),$$

where the sum is over the poles of $\widetilde{\omega}(z)$. Applying this to our situation yields the following, where we have removed terms from the right hand side that do not contribute to the residue at z=0.

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{\omega}_{g,n}(z_1,\boldsymbol{z}_S) &= \mathop{\mathrm{Res}}_{z=0}^{1} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{z-z_1} \frac{\mathrm{d}z_1}{\mathrm{d}z} \Bigg[-2 \sum_{k=2}^{n} \mathrm{d}z \, \mathrm{d}z_k \frac{z^2+z_k^2}{(z^2-z_k^2)^2} \widetilde{\omega}_{g,n-1}(z,\boldsymbol{z}_{S\setminus\{k\}}) \\ &+ \widetilde{\omega}_{g-1,n+1}(z,\overline{z},\boldsymbol{z}_S) + \sum_{\substack{g_1+g_2=g\\I \sqcup I=S}} \widetilde{\omega}_{g_1,|I|+1}(z,\boldsymbol{z}_I) \, \widetilde{\omega}_{g_2,|J|+1}(\overline{z},\boldsymbol{z}_J) \Bigg] \end{split}$$

We may rewrite this in the following way, using $\omega_{0,2}(z_1,z_2) = \frac{dz_1 dz_2}{(z_1-z_2)^2}$.

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{\omega}_{g,n}(z_1, \boldsymbol{z}_S) &= \mathop{\mathrm{Res}}_{z=0}^{1} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{z-z_1} \frac{\mathrm{d}z_1}{\mathrm{d}z} \Bigg[\sum_{k=2}^{n} \Big(\omega_{0,2}(z, z_k) \widetilde{\omega}_{g,n-1}(\overline{z}, \boldsymbol{z}_{S \setminus \{k\}}) + \omega_{0,2}(\overline{z}, z_k) \widetilde{\omega}_{g,n-1}(z, \boldsymbol{z}_{S \setminus \{k\}}) \Big) \\ &+ \widetilde{\omega}_{g-1,n+1}(z, \overline{z}, \boldsymbol{z}_S) + \sum_{\substack{g_1 + g_2 = g \\ I \mid I = S}} \widetilde{\omega}_{g_1,|I|+1}(z, \boldsymbol{z}_I) \, \widetilde{\omega}_{g_2,|J|+1}(\overline{z}, \boldsymbol{z}_J) \Bigg] \end{split}$$

By the inductive hypothesis, we may replace each occurrence of $\widetilde{\omega}$ on the right hand side of the equation with the corresponding ω . We may also absorb the first summation into the second to obtain the following.

$$\widetilde{\omega}_{g,n}(z_1,\boldsymbol{z}_S) = \mathop{\mathrm{Res}}_{z=0} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{z-z_1} \frac{\mathrm{d}z_1}{\mathrm{d}z} \left[\omega_{g-1,n+1}(z,\overline{z},\boldsymbol{z}_S) + \sum_{\substack{g_1+g_2=g\\I \sqcup J=S}}^{\circ} \omega_{g_1,|I|+1}(z,\boldsymbol{z}_I) \, \omega_{g_2,|J|+1}(\overline{z},\boldsymbol{z}_J) \right]$$

Since this precisely agrees with the topological recursion, we have shown by induction that $\widetilde{\omega}_{g,n} = \omega_{g,n}$ for all 2g - 2 + n > 0. Hence, $\widetilde{U}_{g,n}(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) = U_{g,n}(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)$ and the proposition follows.

The correlation differentials produced by the topological recursion satisfy string and dilaton equations, which relate $\omega_{g,n+1}$ and $\omega_{g,n}$ [26].

Corollary 2. *In the case of the Bessel curve, the string and dilaton equations both reduce to the equation*

$$U_{g,n+1}(1,\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_n) = (2g-2+n) U_{g,n}(\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_n).$$

Proposition 1 provides an effective way to calculate the numbers $U_{g,n}(\mu_1,...,\mu_n)$. The only non-zero $U_{g,n}(\mu_1,...,\mu_n)$ in genus up to 4 are given by the following formulas. Observe that the appearance of factorials in each case is due to Corollary 2.

$$U_{1,n}(1,1,1,\ldots,1) = \frac{1}{2^3}(n-1)! \qquad U_{4,n}(7,1,1,1,\ldots,1) = \frac{175}{2^{19}}(n+5)!$$

$$U_{2,n}(3,1,1,\ldots,1) = \frac{3}{2^8}(n+1)! \qquad U_{4,n}(5,3,1,1,\ldots,1) = \frac{575}{7 \cdot 2^{19}}(n+5)!$$

$$U_{3,n}(5,1,1,\ldots,1) = \frac{15}{2^{13}}(n+3)! \qquad U_{4,n}(3,3,3,1,\ldots,1) = \frac{2407}{105 \cdot 2^{18}}(n+5)!$$

$$U_{3,n}(3,3,1,\ldots,1) = \frac{21}{5 \cdot 2^{12}}(n+3)!$$

3 Integrability for the Bessel partition function

3.1 Virasoro constraints

A wide variety of enumerative problems that are governed by the topological recursion have an associated partition function *Z* that satisfies

- Virasoro constraints, in the sense that *Z* is annihilated by a sequence of differential operators that obey the Virasoro commutation relation;
- an integrable hierarchy, such as the Korteweg–de Vries (KdV), Kadomtsev–Petviashvili (KP), or Toda hierarchies; and
- an evolution equation of the form $\frac{\partial Z}{\partial s} = MZ$ for some operator M independent of s.

In particular, this theme has been enunciated by Kazarian and Zograf in the context of enumeration of dessins d'enfant and ribbon graphs [31].

Define the Bessel partition function

$$Z(p_1, p_2, ...; \hbar) = \exp \left[\sum_{g=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\mu_1, ..., \mu_n=1}^{\infty} U_{g,n}(\mu_1, ..., \mu_n) \frac{\hbar^{2g-2+n}}{n!} p_{\mu_1} \cdots p_{\mu_n} \right],$$

which is an element of $\mathbb{Q}[[\hbar, p_1, p_2, \ldots]]$. Note that negative powers of \hbar do not arise. For each non-negative integer m, define the differential operator

$$L_{m} = -\frac{m + \frac{1}{2}}{\hbar} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{2m+1}} + \sum_{i \text{ odd}} (m + \frac{i}{2}) p_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{2m+i}} + \sum_{\substack{i+j=2m\\i,j \text{ odd}}} \frac{ij}{4} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial p_{i} \partial p_{j}} + \frac{1}{16} \delta_{m,0}.$$
 (2)

It is straightforward to verify that the operators L_0 , L_1 , L_2 , ... form a representation of half of the Witt algebra, or equivalently, half of the Virasoro algebra with central charge 0. In other words, they obey the Virasoro commutation relations

$$[L_m, L_n] = (m-n)L_{m+n}, \text{ for } m, n \ge 0.$$

Theorem 3. For each non-negative integer m, we have $L_m Z = 0$.

Proof. Write $Z = \exp(F)$ so that $2L_m Z = 0$ is equivalent to

$$-\frac{2m+1}{\hbar}\frac{\partial F}{\partial p_{2m+1}} + \sum_{i \text{ odd}} (2m+i)p_i \frac{\partial F}{\partial p_{2m+i}} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{i+j=2m\\i,j \text{ odd}}} ij \left[\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial p_i \partial p_j} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial F}{\partial p_j} \right] + \frac{1}{8} \delta_{m,0} = 0.$$

Extracting the coefficient of $\frac{\hbar^{2g-3+n}}{n!}p_{\mu_2}\cdots p_{\mu_n}$ from both sides yields the equation

$$(2m+1) U_{g,n}(2m+1,\boldsymbol{\mu}_S) = \sum_{k=2}^{n} (2m+\mu_k) U_{g,n-1}(2m+\mu_k,\boldsymbol{\mu}_{S\setminus\{k\}})$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{\alpha+\beta=2m \\ \alpha,\beta \text{ odd}}} \alpha\beta \left[U_{g-1,n+1}(\alpha,\beta,\boldsymbol{\mu}_S) + \sum_{\substack{g_1+g_2=g \\ I \sqcup J=S}} U_{g_1,|I|+1}(\alpha,\boldsymbol{\mu}_I) U_{g_2,|J|+1}(\beta,\boldsymbol{\mu}_J) \right].$$

Thus, the fact that L_m annihilates the Bessel partition function Z is equivalent to the recursion of Proposition 1 with $\mu_1 = 2m + 1$. The appearance of the term $\frac{1}{8}\delta_{m,0}$ in the equation above simply encodes the base case $U_{1,1}(1) = \frac{1}{8}$.

3.2 KdV integrability

Theorem 4. The partition function Z is a tau-function for the KdV hierarchy. In particular, $u = F_{p_1p_1}$ satisfies the KdV equation

$$u_t = u \cdot u_x + \frac{\hbar^2}{12} u_{xxx},$$

for $x = p_1$ and $t = p_3$. Furthermore, the solution satisfies $u(x, 0, 0, ...) = \frac{\hbar^2}{8(1-x)^2}$ and has trivial dispersionless limit $\lim_{\hbar \to 0} u = 0$.

Proof. We will show that the Bessel partition function is in fact equal to the Brézin–Gross–Witten partition function. Indeed, the Bessel partition function is uniquely defined by the fact that it is annihilated by the Virasoro operators of equation (2) and the normalisation $Z(\mathbf{0})=1$. On the other hand, the BGW partition function is uniquely defined by the fact that is is annihilated by the Virasoro operators appearing in the work of Alexandrov, Mironov, Morozov and Semenoff [1, 2, 34]. Comparing the two sequences of Virasoro operators, we see that they are equal upon setting $t_k = \frac{1}{k} p_k$. Now we simply use the fact that the BGW partition function is a known tau-function for the KdV integrable hierarchy. The absence of genus zero contributions to Z leads to the property $\lim_{\hbar \to 0} u = 0$.

3.3 A cut-and-join evolution equation

The following result shows that the Bessel partition function satisfies an evolution equation. The operator M that appears in the statement resembles the cut-and-join operator for Hurwitz numbers [29]. This operator was also found by Alexandrov [1].

Theorem 5. The Bessel partition function Z satisfies the equation $\frac{\partial Z}{\partial \hbar} = MZ$, where

$$M = \frac{1}{8}p_1 + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j \text{ odd}} ijp_{i+j+1} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial p_i \partial p_j} + \sum_{i,j \text{ odd}} (i+j-1)p_i p_j \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{i+j-1}}.$$

We give two proofs since one follows methods of Kazarian-Zograf [31] using Virasoro operators and is rather independent of the topological recursion, while the other shows that the cut-and-join equation is directly equivalent to the topological recursion.

First proof. Since the differential operators L_0, L_1, L_2, \ldots of equation (2) annihilate the Bessel partition

function, so does the following infinite linear combination.

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} 2p_{2m+1}L_m = -\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} p_{2m+1} \frac{2m+1}{\hbar} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{2m+1}} + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} p_{2m+1} \sum_{i \text{ odd}} (i+2m)p_i \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{i+2m}} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} p_{2m+1} \sum_{\substack{i+j=2m \ i,j \text{ odd}}} ij \frac{\partial^2}{\partial p_i \partial p_j} + \frac{1}{8} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} p_{2m+1} \delta_{m,0} + \sum_{\substack{i+j=2m \ i,j \text{ odd}}} ij \frac{\partial^2}{\partial p_{i} \partial p_j} + \frac{1}{8} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} p_{2m+1} \delta_{m,0} + \sum_{\substack{i+j=2m \ i,j \text{ odd}}} ij \frac{\partial^2}{\partial p_{2m+1}} + M$$

Now we simply use the fact that for each monomial appearing in Z, the exponent of \hbar records the weighted degree in p_1, p_2, \ldots , where p_i has weight i. This follows from the observation that $U_{g,n}(\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_n)$ is non-zero only when $\mu_1 + \cdots + \mu_n = 2g - 2 + n$, stated in the proof of Proposition 1. Therefore, we have

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} p_{2m+1} \frac{2m+1}{\hbar} \frac{\partial Z}{\partial p_{2m+1}} = \frac{\partial Z}{\partial \hbar}.$$

Second proof. For $Z = \exp(F)$ the cut-and-join equation $\frac{\partial Z}{\partial h} = MZ$ is equivalent to the equation

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial \hbar} = \frac{1}{8} p_1 F + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j \text{ odd}} ij p_{i+j+1} \left[\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial p_i \partial p_j} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial F}{\partial p_j} \right] + \sum_{i,j \text{ odd}} (i+j-1) p_i p_j \frac{\partial F}{\partial p_{i+j-1}}.$$

By collecting coefficients on both sides of this equation, we see that it is equivalent to the topological recursion via equation (1). \Box

Corollary 6. The Bessel partition function can be expressed as

$$Z(p_1, p_2, \ldots; \hbar) = \exp(\hbar M) \cdot 1 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\hbar^k}{k!} M^k \cdot 1.$$

This gives an effective way to calculate Z. We present here the Bessel partition function Z and corresponding free energy $F = \log(Z)$ up to terms of order \hbar^6 .

$$Z(\mathbf{p};\hbar) = 1 + \frac{1}{2^{3}} p_{1} \hbar + \frac{9}{2^{7}} p_{1}^{2} \hbar^{2} + \left[\frac{3}{2^{7}} p_{3} + \frac{51}{2^{10}} p_{1}^{3} \right] \hbar^{3} + \left[\frac{75}{2^{10}} p_{3} p_{1} + \frac{1275}{2^{15}} p_{1}^{4} \right] \hbar^{4}$$

$$+ \left[\frac{45}{2^{10}} p_{5} + \frac{2475}{2^{14}} p_{3} p_{1}^{2} + \frac{8415}{2^{18}} p_{1}^{5} \right] \hbar^{5} + \left[\frac{1845}{2^{13}} p_{5} p_{1} + \frac{2025}{2^{15}} p_{3}^{2} + \frac{33825}{2^{17}} p_{3} p_{1}^{3} + \frac{115005}{2^{22}} p_{1}^{6} \right] \hbar^{6} + \cdots$$

$$F(\mathbf{p};\hbar) = \frac{1}{8} p_{1} \hbar + \frac{1}{16} p_{1}^{2} \hbar^{2} + \left[\frac{3}{128} p_{3} + \frac{1}{24} p_{1}^{3} \right] \hbar^{3} + \left[\frac{9}{128} p_{3} p_{1} + \frac{1}{32} p_{1}^{4} \right] \hbar^{4}$$

$$+ \left[\frac{45}{1024} p_{5} + \frac{9}{64} p_{3} p_{1}^{2} + \frac{1}{40} p_{1}^{5} \right] \hbar^{5} + \left[\frac{1}{48} p_{1}^{6} + \frac{15}{64} p_{3} p_{1}^{3} + \frac{63}{1024} p_{3}^{2} + \frac{225}{1024} p_{5} p_{1} \right] \hbar^{6} + \cdots$$

Remark 7. The operator

$$M = \frac{1}{8}p_1 + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j \text{ odd}} ijp_{i+j+1} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial p_i \partial p_j} + \sum_{i,j \text{ odd}} (i+j-1)p_i p_j \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{i+j-1}}$$

is not an element of the Lie algebra $\widehat{gl}(\infty)$. If it were, then since 1 is a tau-function of the KP hierarchy and the action of $\widehat{GL}(\infty)$ maps KP tau-functions to KP tau-functions, then Corollary 6 could be used to give another proof that Z is a KP tau-function. Since Z depends on p_i only for i odd, one could then deduce that it is a KdV tau-function. However, one can prove that $M \notin \widehat{gl}(\infty)$ using the fact that p_1 is a KP tau-function while $\exp(\hbar M) \cdot p_1$ is not a KP tau-function, which can be observed from its expansion in \hbar .

4 The quantum curve

Consider the wave function $\psi(z,\hbar)$ formed from the following so-called principal specialisation of the partition function.

$$\begin{split} \psi(z,\hbar) &= Z(p_1,p_2,\ldots;\hbar)|_{p_i=z^{-i}} \\ &= \exp\left[\sum_{g=1}^{\infty}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sum_{\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_n=1}^{\infty}U_{g,n}(\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_n)\frac{\hbar^{2g-2+n}}{n!}z^{-(\mu_1+\cdots+\mu_n)}\right] \\ &= 1 + \frac{1}{8}\frac{\hbar}{z} + \frac{9}{128}\frac{\hbar^2}{z^2} + \frac{75}{1024}\frac{\hbar^3}{z^3} + \frac{3675}{3268}\frac{\hbar^4}{z^4} + \frac{59535}{262144}\frac{\hbar^5}{z^5} + \frac{2401245}{4194304}\frac{\hbar^6}{z^6} + \frac{57972915}{33554432}\frac{\hbar^7}{z^7} + \cdots \end{split}$$

Theorem 8. The wave function $\psi(z,\hbar)$ satisfies the differential equation

$$\frac{1}{2}z^2\frac{d^2}{dz^2}\psi + \hbar^{-1}z^2\frac{d}{dz}\psi + \frac{1}{8}\psi = 0.$$

Proof. Start with the evolution equation

$$\left(\frac{1}{8}p_1 + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j \text{ odd}} ijp_{i+j+1} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial p_i \partial p_j} + \sum_{i,j \text{ odd}} (i+j-1)p_i p_j \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{i+j-1}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \hbar}\right) Z(p_1, p_2, \dots; \hbar) = 0.$$

The action of the operator on a monomial

$$\left[\frac{1}{8}p_1 + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j \text{ odd}}ijp_{i+j+1}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial p_i\partial p_j} + \sum_{i,j \text{ odd}}(i+j-1)p_ip_j\frac{\partial}{\partial p_{i+j-1}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial\hbar}\right]p_{\mu_1}\cdots p_{\mu_n}\hbar^{|\boldsymbol{\mu}|}$$

has the following principal specialisation, obtained by substituting $p_i = z^{-i}$ for i = 1, 2, 3, ...

$$\begin{split} & \left[\frac{1}{8} z^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \neq \ell} \mu_k \mu_\ell z^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n (\mu_k^2 + \mu_k) z^{-1} - |\mu| \hbar^{-1} \right] z^{-|\mu|} \hbar^{|\mu|} \\ &= \left[\frac{1}{8} z^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} |\mu|^2 z^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} |\mu| z^{-1} - |\mu| \hbar^{-1} \right] z^{-|\mu|} \hbar^{|\mu|} \\ &= \left[\frac{1}{8} z^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z} z \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z} + \hbar^{-1} z \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z} \right] z^{-|\mu|} \hbar^{|\mu|} \\ &= \left[\frac{1}{8} z^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} z \frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}z^2} + \hbar^{-1} z \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z} \right] z^{-|\mu|} \hbar^{|\mu|} \end{split}$$

It follows that

$$\[\frac{1}{2}z^2\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}z^2} + \hbar^{-1}z^2\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z} + \frac{1}{8} \] \psi(z,h) = 0.$$

Corollary 9. We have the following explicit expression for the wave function.

$$\psi(z,\hbar) = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2d-1)!!^2}{8^d d!} \left(\frac{\hbar}{z}\right)^d$$

Proof. Put $\psi(z, \hbar) = \sum a_d \frac{\hbar^d}{z^d}$, so we have

$$0 = \left[\frac{1}{2}z^2\frac{d^2}{dz^2} + \hbar^{-1}z^2\frac{d}{dz} + \frac{1}{8}\right]\sum_{d=0}^{\infty} a_d \frac{\hbar^d}{z^d} = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1}{2}d(d+1)a_d - (d+1)a_{d+1} + \frac{1}{8}a_d\right]\frac{\hbar^d}{z^d}$$

Thus,

$$\frac{1}{2}d(d+1)a_d - (d+1)a_{d+1} + \frac{1}{8}a_d = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad a_{d+1} = \frac{\frac{1}{2}d(d+1) + \frac{1}{8}}{d+1}a_d = \frac{1}{8}\frac{(2d+1)^2}{d+1}a_d,$$

from which one obtains

$$a_d = \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{a_i}{a_{i-1}} = \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{1}{8} \frac{(2i-1)^2}{i} = \frac{1}{8^d} \frac{(2d-1)!!^2}{d!}.$$

Gukov and Sułkowski [30] suggest to define the modified wave function in general via the equation³

$$\psi_0(z,\hbar) = \exp\left[\hbar^{-1}S_0(z) + S_1(z) + \hbar S_2(z) + \hbar^2 S_3(z) + \cdots\right],$$

where

$$\begin{split} S_0(z) &= \int y(z) \, \mathrm{d} x(z), \\ S_1(z) &= -\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\mathrm{d} x}{\mathrm{d} z}, \\ S_k(z) &= \sum_{2g-1+n=k} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \int_{\infty}^z \cdots \int_{\infty}^z \omega_{g,n}(z_1, \ldots, z_n), \quad \text{for } k \geq 2. \end{split}$$

They conjecture that this modified wave function is annihilated by a differential operator that is a quantization of the spectral curve, as long as the spectral curve satisfies a particular "quantizability condition". Furthermore, they claim that this quantum curve operator can be calculated recursively via its \hbar -expansion using the calculation of $\omega_{g,n}$ via topological recursion.

In the case of the Bessel spectral curve, we may verify the conjecture of Gukov and Sułkowski by computing explicitly $S_0(z) = z$, $S_1(z) = -\frac{1}{2} \log z$, and

$$\int_{\infty}^{z} \cdots \int_{\infty}^{z} \omega_{g,n}(z_{1},\ldots,z_{n}) = \sum_{\mu_{1},\ldots,\mu_{n}=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n} U_{g,n}(\mu_{1},\ldots,\mu_{n}) \prod_{i=1}^{n} z_{i}^{-\mu_{i}} \Big|_{z_{i}=z}$$

$$= \sum_{\mu_{1},\ldots,\mu_{n}=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n} U_{g,n}(\mu_{1},\ldots,\mu_{n}) z^{2-2g-n}.$$

The second equality follows since non-zero contributions arise only when $\sum \mu_i = 2g - 2 + n$. It follows that we have the relation

$$\psi_0(z,\hbar) = \exp(z/\hbar) z^{-1/2} \psi(z,\hbar).$$
 (3)

Now at the level of the modified wave function, Theorem 8 can be expressed equivalently as

$$\left[\hbar^2 z^2 \frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}z^2} + \hbar^2 z \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z} - z^2\right] \psi_0(z, \hbar) = 0.$$

And in terms of the operators $\hat{x} = x = \frac{1}{2}z^2$ and $\hat{y} = \hbar \frac{d}{dx} = \frac{\hbar}{z} \frac{d}{dz}$, we can write this as

$$[2\hat{y}\hat{x}\hat{y}-1]\psi_0(z,\hbar)=0.$$

This is the quantum curve equation and its semi-classical limit is $2xy^2-1=0$, from which we recover the original spectral curve. This quantum curve was obtained by Alexandrov [1] and also by Bouchard and Eynard [7], where its relation to the topological recursion was proven as a consequence of a much more general theorem for a large class of rational spectral curves. As mentioned previously, the name *Bessel curve* derives from the fact that the differential equation above is the modified Bessel equation (after setting $\hbar=1$) with parameter 0. Hence, $\psi_0(z,\hbar)=K_0(z/\hbar)$, where K_0 denotes the modified Bessel function. In fact, combining Corollary and equation gives precisely the known asymptotic expansion of the modified Bessel function.

References

- [1] Alexandrov, A. Cut-and-join description of generalized Brezin-Gross-Witten model arXiv:1608.01627
- [2] Alexandrov, A; Mironov, A and Morozov, A. *BGWM as second constituent of complex matrix model.* JHEP 0912:053, (2009).
- [3] Jørgen Andersen, Leonid Chekhov, Paul Norbury and Robert Penner. Models of discretized moduli spaces, cohomological field theories, and Gaussian means. Journal of Geometry and Physics 98 (2015), 312-329.

³We adopt a slightly different sign convention to that of Gukov and Sułkowski [30].

- [4] Marco Bertola, Boris Dubrovin, Di Yang Correlation functions of the KdV hierarchy and applications to intersection numbers over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ arXiv:1504.06452
- [5] Ferenc Balogh, Di Yang Geometric interpretation of Zhou's explicit formula for the Witten-Kontsevich tau-function. arXiv:1412.4419
- [6] Borot, Gaëtan and Eynard, Bertrand. *All-order asymptotics of hyperbolic knot invariants from non-perturbative topological recursion of A-polynomials* Quantum Topology **6** (2015) 39-138.
- [7] Bouchard, Vincent and Eynard, Bertrand Reconstructing WKB from topological recursion arXiv:1606.04498
- [8] Bouchard, Vincent and Eynard, Bertrand Think globally, compute locally JHEP 02 (2013) 143.
- [9] Bouchard, Vincent and Hernández Serrano, Daniel and Liu, Xiaojun and Mulase, Motohico, *Mirror symmetry for orbifold Hurwitz numbers*, J. Differential Geom., Volume 98, Number 3, 375–423, (2014).
- [10] Bouchard, Vincent; Klemm, Albrecht; Mariño, Marcos; Pasquetti, Sara *Remodeling the B-Model*. Comm. Math. Phys. **287**, 117-178 (2009).
- [11] Bouchard, Vincent and Mariño, Marcos. *Hurwitz numbers, matrix models and enumerative geometry,* From Hodge theory to integrability and TQFT tt*-geometry, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Volume 78, 263–283, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (2008).
- [12] Chekhov, Leonid The Harer-Zagier recursion for an irregular spectral curve arXiv:1512.09278
- [13] Chekhov, Leonid and Eynard, Bertrand *Hermitian matrix model free energy: Feynman graph technique for all genera*. J. High Energy Phys. **3**, (2006), 014, 18 pp.
- [14] Di Francesco, P. Rectangular matrix models and combinatorics of colored graphs. Nuc. Phys. B **648**, (2003), 461–496.
- [15] Dijkgraaf, Robbert; Fuji, Hiroyuki and Manabe Masahide *The Volume Conjecture, Perturbative Knot Invariants, and Recursion Relations for Topological Strings*. Nucl. Phys. B **849** (2011), 166.
- [16] Do, Norman; Dyer, Alastair and Mathews, Daniel *Topological recursion and a quantum curve for monotone Hurwitz numbers*. arXiv:1408.3992
- [17] Do, N., Leigh, O. and Norbury, P. *Orbifold Hurwitz numbers and Eynard-Orantin invariants*. To appear in *Math. Res. Lett.* arXiv:1212.6850
- [18] Do, Norman and Manescu, David *Quantum curves for the enumeration of ribbon graphs and hypermaps*. To appear in Commun. Number Theory Phys. 8 (2014), no. 4.
- [19] Norman Do and Paul Norbury. Counting lattice points in compactified moduli spaces of curves. *Geometry & Topology* **15** (2011) 2321-2350.
- [20] Do, Norman and Norbury, Paul. Topological recursion for irregular spectral curves. arXiv:1412.8334
- [21] Dumitrescu, Olivia and Mulase, Motohico and Safnuk, Brad and Sorkin, Adam. *The spectral curve of the Eynard-Orantin recursion via the Laplace transform*. Algebraic and geometric aspects of integrable systems and random matrices, Contemp. Math., Volume 593, 263–315, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (2013).
- [22] Dunin-Barkowski, P.; Orantin, N.; Popolitov, A. and Shadrin, S. *Combinatorics of loop equations for branched covers of sphere.* arXiv:1412.1698
- [23] Dunin-Barkowski, P.; Orantin, N.; Shadrin, S. and Spitz, L. *Identification of the Givental formula with the spectral curve topological recursion procedure*. Comm. Math. Phys. **328**, (2014), 669–700.
- [24] Eynard, Bertrand, Mulase Motohico and Safnuk, Brad. *The Laplace transform of the cut-and-join equation and the Bouchard-Marino conjecture on Hurwitz numbers*. Publications of the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences 47 (2011), 629-670.
- [25] Eynard, Bertrand and Orantin, Nicolas Computation of open Gromov–Witten invariants for toric Calabi–Yau 3-folds by topological recursion, a proof of the BKMP conjecture. arXiv:1205.1103
- [26] Eynard, Bertrand and Orantin, Nicolas. *Invariants of algebraic curves and topological expansion*. Commun. Number Theory Phys. **1** (2), (2007), 347–452.

- [27] Eynard, Bertrand and Orantin, Nicolas. *Topological recursion in enumerative geometry and random matrices*. J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **42** (29), (2009) 293001.
- [28] Bohan Fang, Chiu-Chu Melissa Liu, and Zhengyu Zong, *The Eynard–Orantin recursion and equivariant mirror symmetry for the projective line*, arXiv:1411.3557v2.
- [29] Goulden, Ian and Jackson, David *Transitive factorizations into transpositions and holomorphic mappings on the sphere.* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **125** (1997), 51-60.
- [30] Gukov, Sergei and Sułkowski, Piotr. *A-polynomial*, *B-model*, and quantization. J. High Energy Phys. **2012** (2), (2012), 070.
- [31] Kazarian, Maxim and Zograf, Peter. *Virasoro constraints and topological recursion for Grothendieck's dessin counting*. arXiv:1406.5976
- [32] Kontsevich, Maxim. *Intersection theory on the moduli space of curves and the matrix Airy function.* Comm. Math. Phys. **147**, (1992), 1–23.
- [33] Liu, Kefeng; Vakil, Ravi and Xu, Hao. *Formal pseudodifferential operators and Witten's r-spin numbers*. arXiv:1112.4601
- [34] Mironov, A and Morozov, A. and Semenoff, G. *Unitary matrix integrals in the framework of Generalized Kontsevich Model 1. Brezin-Gross-Witten Model* Int.J.Mod.Phys. A11 (1996) 5031-5080.
- [35] Norbury, Paul Counting lattice points in the moduli space of curves. Math. Res. Lett. 17 (2010), 467-481.
- [36] Norbury, Paul and Scott, Nick *Gromov–Witten invariants of* **P**¹ *and Eynard–Orantin invariants.* Geometry & Topology **18** (2014), 1865–1910.
- [37] Okounkov, A. *Generating functions for intersection numbers on moduli spaces of curves.* International Mathematics Research Notices **18** (2002), 933-957.
- [38] Zhou, Jian Intersection numbers on Deligne-Mumford moduli spaces and quantum Airy curve. arXiv:1206.5896

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, MONASH UNIVERSITY, VIC 3800, AUSTRALIA *Email*: norm.do@monash.edu

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF MELBOURNE, VIC 3010, AUSTRALIA *Email*: pnorbury@ms.unimelb.edu.au