REAL INSTANTONS, DIRAC OPERATORS AND QUATERNIONIC CLASSIFYING SPACES

PAUL NORBURY AND MARC SANDERS

ABSTRACT. Let $M(k, SO(n))$ be the moduli space of based gauge equivalence classes of $SO(n)$ instantons on principal $SO(n)$ bundles over S^4 with first Pontryagin class $p_1 = 2k$. In this paper, we use a monad description ([Ti], [D]) of these moduli spaces to show that in the limit over n, the moduli space is homotopy equivalent to the classifying space $BSp(k)$. Finally, we use Dirac operators coupled to such connections to exhibit a particular and quite natural homotopy equivalence.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $M(k, SO(n))$ be the moduli space of based gauge equivalence classes of $SO(n)$ instantons on principal $SO(n)$ bundles over S^4 with first Pontryagin class $p_1 = 2k$. By adding a trivial connection on a trivial line bundle, there are natural maps $M(k, SO(n)) \hookrightarrow M(k, SO(n+1))$, and one can define the direct limit space $M(k, SO)$. In this paper we show that there is a homotopy equivalence $M(k, SO) \simeq BSp(k)$, where $Sp(k)$ denotes the symplectic group of norm preserving automorphisms of the quaternionic vector space H^n. We also show that this equivalence can be realized by a "Dirac-type" map, constructed by coupling a Dirac operator to an $SO(n)$ connection. More precisely, the coupling of a Dirac operator to a connection associates to each element of $M(k, SO(n))$ an operator acting on the space of sections of a certain vector bundle. Associated to each selfdual connection is the vector space of sections in the kernel of its associated operator. This procedure defines a complex vector bundle, which for $SO(n)$ connections has a symplectic structure, and this bundle is classified by a map which we shall refer to as the Dirac map, $\partial_{SO(n)} : M(k, SO(n)) \to BSp(k)$. The topological properties of these Dirac maps for $SU(n)$ connections were first studied by Atiyah and Jones [AJ], and more recently it was shown in [S] that the limit map $\partial_{SU} : M(k, SU) \to BU(k)$ realizes Kirwan's [K] homology isomorphism $H_*(M(k, SU)) \cong H_*(BU(k))$, and is, therefore, a homotopy equivalence. It also makes sense to define such Dirac maps on the limit spaces $M(k, G)$, where G is either SO or Sp, and in [S] it was shown that the limit map $\partial_{Sp} : M(k, Sp) \to BO(k)$ is a homotopy equivalence. In this paper we complete the picture for the classical groups by showing that the limit map $\partial_{SO} : M(k, SO) \to BSp(k)$ is also a homotopy equivalence.

Our proof will be fairly direct. In section one we review Tian's [Ti] version of Donaldson's [D] monad description of $M(k, SO(n))$. Tian exhibits this moduli space as the quotient of a set of triples of certain complex matrices by an action

Date: March 10, 1995.
1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53C07, 55P38. Secondary 55R45.
Key words and Phrases. Instantons, Dirac operators, classifying space.

of $Sp(k;C)$, the complex symplectic group. We shall show that this action is free, that there are natural maps $M(k,SO(n)) \hookrightarrow M(k,SO(n+1))$, and that in the limit over n the space of triples is contractible. Hence, $M(k,SO)$ will be shown to be the quotient of a contractible space by a free $Sp(k;C)$ action. In section 2, we use a comparison between $SO(n)$ and $SU(n)$ connections to show that the Dirac map $\partial_{SO(n)} : M(k,SO(n)) \to BSp(k)$ induces a surjection in integral homology through a range of dimension increasing with n. Since $H_*(M(k,SO);Z) \cong H_*(BSp(k);Z)$ by results of section one, the limit map ∂_{SO} must be a homology isomorphism and therefore a homotopy equivalence.

Notice that the Sp and SO duality in these moduli spaces is foreshadowed in Bott Periodicity. Since the entire space of based gauge equivalence classes of $SO(n)$ connections is homotopy equivalent to $\Omega^3 SO(n)$, the limit over n is homotopy equivalent to $Z \times BSp$. Similarly, the space of $Sp(n)$ connections is homotopy equivalent to $\Omega^3 Sp(n)$ which, after passing to the limit, is homotopy equivalent to $Z \times BO$. Alternatively, as we will see in section 2, this duality comes from the fact that the bundle of real spinors over S^4 is naturally a symplectic vector bundle. Recently, in fact, Tian [Ti] has shown that by comparing the two possible limit processes which one can apply to these moduli spaces, viz., fixing k and taking the limit over n or fixing n and taking the limit over k, one actually can prove Bott Periodicity. This consequence alone demonstrates the beauty and complexity of these moduli spaces.

2. $M(k,SO)$ AND $BSp(k)$

The ADHM construction [ADHM] identifies the space of instantons with certain holomorphic bundles over complex projective space, and Donaldson [D] used a monad construction to characterize such bundles in terms of a quotient of a set of sequences of complex matrices by a natural group action. For $SO(n)$ instantons, Tian [Ti] carried out this procedure explicitly.

Let σ denote the standard skew form on $\mathbf{C}^{2k}$,

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_k \\ -I_k & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where I_k is the $k \times k$ identity matrix. The complexified symplectic group, $Sp(k,\mathbf{C}) \subset Gl(2k,\mathbf{C})$, consists of those matrices g such that $g^{-1} = -\sigma g^T \sigma$. The maximal compact subgroup of $Sp(k,\mathbf{C})$ is the compact symplectic group $Sp(k)$.

Proposition 1. *(Donaldson [D] and Tian [Ti]) Let $A(k,SO(n))$ be the space of triples of complex matices (γ_1,γ_2,c), where γ_i is $2k \times 2k$ and c is $n \times 2k$, satisfying:*

a) $\gamma_1^T = -\sigma\gamma_1\sigma$
b) $\gamma_2^T = \gamma_2$
c) $2(\gamma_1^T\gamma_2 + \gamma_2^T\gamma_1) + c^T c = 0$
d) $\begin{pmatrix} \gamma_1 + xI_{2k} \\ \gamma_2 + y\sigma \\ c \end{pmatrix}$ has rank 2k for all $x, y \in \mathbf{C}$.

Then there is a natural action of $Sp(k,\mathbf{C})$ on $A(k,SO(n))$ given by

$$g \cdot (\gamma_1,\gamma_2,c) = (g\gamma_1 g^{-1}, (g^{-1})^T\gamma_2 g^{-1}, cg^{-1}),$$

and $M(k,SO(n))$ is homeomorphic to the quotient $A(k,SO(n))/Sp(k,\mathbf{C})$.

It has been shown [S] that in the analogous description of $SU(n)$ and $Sp(n)$ instantons, this group action is free. This is, in some sense, already implicit in the monad construction. Not surprisingly, then, it is also true in the $SO(n)$ case. For the sake of completeness, however, we now give the proof.

Lemma 2. *The natural action of $Sp(k, \mathbf{C})$ on $A(k, SO(n))$ is free.*

Proof: Assume the converse, so we have

$$(g\gamma_1 g^{-1}, (g^{-1})^T \gamma_2 g^{-1}, cg^{-1}) = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2, c)$$

for a particular $g \neq I$ and triple (γ_1, γ_2, c), and note that elements of $Sp(k, \mathbf{C})$ satisfy $g^{-1} = -\sigma g^T \sigma$. Consider the subspace $im(g - I) = V \subset \mathbf{C}^{2k}$. By assumption it is non-empty. Thus, from the definition of the action we have

$$\begin{aligned} c(g - I) &= 0 \ , \\ \gamma_1(g - I) &= (g - I)\gamma_1 \ , \\ \sigma\gamma_2(g - I) &= (g - I)\sigma\gamma_2 \ . \end{aligned}$$

This last fact is proved as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_2 &= (g^{-1})^T \gamma_2 g^{-1} \\ \Rightarrow \gamma_2 g &= (g^{-1})^T \gamma_2 \\ \Rightarrow \sigma\gamma_2 g &= -\sigma(g^{-1})^T \sigma\sigma\gamma_2 \\ \Rightarrow \sigma\gamma_2 g &= g\sigma\gamma_2 \ . \end{aligned}$$

Equivalently c annihilates V and γ_1 and $\sigma\gamma_2$ preserve V. Using conditions a), b), and c), we see that on V

$$\begin{aligned} &\gamma_1^T \gamma_2 + \gamma_2^T \gamma_1 = 0 \\ \Rightarrow &-\sigma\gamma_1\sigma\gamma_2 - \gamma_2\gamma_1 = 0 \\ \Rightarrow &\gamma_1\sigma\gamma_2 - \sigma\gamma_2\gamma_1 = 0 \ . \end{aligned}$$

Hence γ_1 and $\sigma\gamma_2$ have a common eigenvector in V.

Choose $v \in V$ satisfying $\gamma_1 v = \lambda v$ and $\sigma\gamma_2 v = \mu v$. Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} \gamma_1 - \lambda I_{2k} \\ \gamma_2 + \mu\sigma \\ c \end{pmatrix} v = 0$$

contradicting condition d). Thus, the image of $g - I$ must be empty so $g = I$ and the action is free.

We now construct the limit space $M(k, SO)$ and show that it is homotopy equivalent to $BSp(k)$. First notice that there is an $Sp(k, \mathbf{C})$ equivariant map from $A(k, SO(n)) \hookrightarrow A(k, SO(n+1))$ which sends each γ_i to itself and sends c to the $(n+1) \times k$ matrix made up of c with an extra row of zeros on top. On the level of monads, this adds to the bundle over CP^2 the trivial holomorphic line bundle (see [Ti]). Thus this map induces the natural inclusion $M(k, SO(n)) \hookrightarrow M(k, SO(n+1))$ sending the connection ω to the connection $\omega \oplus d$, where d is ordinary exterior differentiation. We now prove the main theorem of this section.

Theorem 3. *$A(k, SO)$ is a contractible space with a free $Sp(k, \mathbf{C})$ action. Thus, $M(k, SO)) \cong A(k, SO)/Sp(k, \mathbf{C}) \simeq BSp(k)$.*

Proof To show that $A(k, SO)$ is contractible it suffices to show that all of its homotopy groups are zero. To this end we show that for any k and n there is an $r > n$ such that inclusion $A(k, SO(n)) \hookrightarrow A(k, SO(r))$ is homotopically trivial. (cf. [S], sections 2 and 3).

For $0 \leq t \leq 1$ define $\tilde{I}_k(t)$ to be the $4k \times 2k$ matrix whose j^{th} column is the vector

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ t \\ it \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where there are $2j-2$ zeroes before the t. Note that $(\tilde{I}_k(t))^T \cdot \tilde{I}_k(t)$ is the zero matrix. Now consider the homotopy $H_t : A(k, SO(n)) \to A(k, SO(4k+n))$ defined as follows:

$$H_t(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, c) = ((1-t)\gamma_1, (1-t)\gamma_2, c_t)$$

where

$$c_t = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{I}_k(t) \\ \\ (1-t)c \end{pmatrix}$$

It is easy to check that for any $x \in A(k, SO(n))$ we have $H_t(x) \in A(k, SO(4k+n))$ because c_t clearly has rank $2k$ and $c_t^T \cdot c_t = c^T \cdot c(1-t)^2$. Finally, notice that H_0 is just the natural inclusion $A(k, SO(n)) \hookrightarrow A(k, SO(4k+n))$, and H_1 is a constant map. This finishes the proof of the theorem.

3. The Dirac map $\partial_{SO(n)} : M(k, SO(n)) \to BSp(k)$

In this section we review the construction of the Dirac map, and show that after passing to the limit over n it is a homotopy equivalence. To define this map it is instructive to first consider $SU(n)$ instantons. Let ω be a connection on the $SU(n)$ vector bundle E_k, where the second Chern class $c_2(E_k) = k$, and let S denote the canonical bundle of complex spinors over S^4 with its canonical connection ∇_s. The tensor product bundle $S \otimes E_k$ inherits a Clifford module structure from the one on S, and we can view $\nabla_s \otimes \omega$ as a connection on this bundle. This connection gives rise to a Dirac operator

$$D_\omega : \Gamma(S \otimes E_k) \longrightarrow \Gamma(S \otimes E_k),$$

where $\Gamma(S \otimes E_k)$ is the space of smooth sections of $S \otimes E_k$. There is a splitting $S \cong S^+ \oplus S^-$ and the Dirac operator interchanges the two summands. The operator

$$D_\omega^+ : \Gamma(S^+ \otimes E_k) \longrightarrow \Gamma(S^- \otimes E_k)$$

is Fredholm, in an appropriate Sobolev completion, and of index k [AJ]. Furthermore, if ω is selfdual, then $Coker(D_\omega^+) = 0$ [AHS]. Therefore, the space of sections in the kernel of D_ω^+ gives a well-defined vector space associated to the connection ω. There is an equivariance of the kernel under gauge transformation in the sense that $\sigma \in Ker(D_\omega^+)$ implies $g\sigma \in Ker(D_{gw}^+)$, for any g in the based gauge group

of bundle automorphisms of E_k. Passing to gauge equivalence classes gives a k dimensional complex vector bundle over $M(k, SU(n))$. This bundle is classified by a map, $\partial_{SU(n)} : M(k, SU(n)) \to BU(k)$, which we shall refer to as the Dirac map.

A similar construction can be used to define the Dirac map for $SO(n)$ connections. Given an $SO(n)$ bundle E with $p_1(E) = 2k$ and an $SO(n)$ instanton ω on E, we can complexify the bundle and connection, denoted ω_C and E_C, then use the unitary Dirac map to obtain

$$M(k, SO(n)) \longrightarrow M(2k, SU(n)) \stackrel{\partial_{SU(n)}}{\longrightarrow} BU(2k).$$

(Note that $c_2(E_C) = 2k$). However, because E_C has by definition an underlying real structure, given by some bundle involution J_E, and the complex spinor bundle S has a quaternionic structure, given by some complex anti-linear bundle automorphism J_s, where $J_s \circ J_s = -1$, the tensor product bundle $S \otimes E_C$ will also have a quaternionic structure. Moreover, the Dirac operator will respect this extra structure because the tensor product connection $\nabla_s \otimes \omega_C$ will commute with $J_s \otimes J_E$. Thus, the kernel bundle, defined by coupling a Dirac operator to a real $SO(n)$ instanton, will be a k dimensional quaternionic bundle over $M(k, SO(n))$. In other words, the composition

$$M(k, SO(n)) \longrightarrow M(2k, SU(n)) \stackrel{\partial_{SU(n)}}{\longrightarrow} BU(2k)$$

factors through $BSp(k)$. We denote this lifting by $\partial_{SO(n)}$. In short, we have the homotopy commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M(k, SO(n)) & \stackrel{\partial_{SO(n)}}{\longrightarrow} & BSp(k) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ M(2k, SU(n)) & \stackrel{\partial_{SU(n)}}{\longrightarrow} & BU(2k) \end{array}$$

We now show that we can define the limit map $\partial_{SO} : M(k, SO) \to BSp(k)$. ¿From the matrix description of $M(k, SO(n))$, we see that the natural inclusion $M(k, SO(n)) \hookrightarrow M(k, SO(n+1))$, mapping (ω, E) to $(\omega \oplus d, E \oplus R)$ embeds $M(k, SO(n))$ as a closed submanifold of $M(k, SO(n+1))$. It follows that the direct limit $M(k, SO)$ is homotopy equivalent to the homotopy direct limit $M(k, SO)_h$. Thus, it suffices to define ∂_{SO} on $M(k, SO)_h$. To this end, let $\mathcal{A}(k, SO(n))$ denote the space of instantons before passing to gauge equivalence classes, and let $G_{k,SO(n)}$ denote the based gauge group of bundle automorphisms of the $SO(n)$ bundle E, where $p_1(E) = 2k$. Let $\eta(k, SO(n))$ denote the bundle classfied by the map $\partial_{SO(n)} : M(k, SO(n)) \to BSp(k)$. By definition,

$$\eta(k, SO(n)) = \left\{ [(\omega, \tau)] : \tau \in ker(D^+_\omega) \right\} \subset \mathcal{A}(k, SO(n)) \times_{G_{k,SO(n)}} \Gamma(S^+ \otimes E_C)$$

Since the untwisted Dirac operator on S^4 has no kernel (S^4 has no harmonic spinors), the natural inclusion of bundles

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \eta(k, SO(n)) & \hookrightarrow & \eta(k, SO(n+1)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ M(k, SO(n)) & \hookrightarrow & M(k, SO(n+1)) \end{array}$$

defined by $(\omega, \tau) \to (\omega \oplus d, \tau \oplus 0)$ is an isomorphism on fibers. Thus the pullback of $\eta(k, SO(n+1))$ via the inclusion $M(k, SO(n)) \hookrightarrow M(k, SO(n+1))$ is isomorphic to $\eta(k, SO(n))$. Hence, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M(k, SO(n)) & \hookrightarrow & M(k, SO(n+1)) \\ \partial_{SO(n)} \downarrow & & \downarrow \partial_{SO(n+1)} \\ BSp(k) & = & BSp(k) \end{array}$$

commutes up to homotopy. So there exists a map $\partial_{SO} : M(k, SO)_h \to BSp(k)$. Precomposing with the equivalence $M(k, SO) \simeq M(k, SO)_h$, gives a map

$$\partial_{SO} : M(k, SO) \to BSp(k).$$

This map is not necessarily uniquely determined. Nevertheless, any two choices, when restricted to $M(k, SO(n))$ will classify the bundle $\eta(k, SO(n))$, and this is the only property of the limit map which we will use. In particular, any such choice will give a homotopy commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M(k, SO(n)) & \longrightarrow & M(k, SO) \\ & \partial_{SO(n)} \searrow & \downarrow \partial_{SO} \\ & & BSp(k). \end{array}$$

Now, since $H_*(M(k, SO)) \cong H_*(BSp(k))$ by theorem 3, ∂_{SO} will induce a homology isomorphism, and therefore be a homotopy equivalence, if and only if it induces a surjection in homology. By the homotopy commutativity of the previous diagram, it suffices to show that $\partial_{SO(n)} : M(k, SO(n)) \to BSp(k)$ induces a surjection in homology through a range increasing with n.

Theorem 4. *The Dirac map $\partial_{SO(n)}$ induces a surjection in homology through dimension $2n-4$. Thus, the limit map $\partial_{SO} : M(k, SO) \to BSp(k)$ is a homotopy equivalence.*

We begin by proving the following lemma:

Lemma 5. *There is a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_*(M(k, SU(n))) & \overset{(\partial_{SU(n)})_*}{\longrightarrow} & H_*(BU(k)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H_*(M(k, SO(2n))) & \overset{(\partial_{SO(2n)})_*}{\longrightarrow} & H_*(BSp(k)), \end{array}$$

where $Sp(k) \subset U(2k)$ consists of all matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ -\bar{B} & \bar{A} \end{pmatrix}$$

for any $A, B \in End(C^k)$, and the map $BU(k) \to BSp(k)$ is induced from the inclusion $U(k) \hookrightarrow Sp(k)$ defined by

$$A \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{A} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof of Lemma First notice that the natural map of Lie algebras $su(n) \hookrightarrow so(2n)$ induces a map $M(k, SU(n)) \to M(k, SO(2n))$. The self-duality condition is preserved because the Hodge star operator is complex linear. Locally, the connection matrix $\gamma = \gamma_1 + i\gamma_2$, where γ_j is a real matrix-valued one form, will map to the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \gamma_1 & -\gamma_2 \\ \gamma_2 & \gamma_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Also notice that, as mentioned previously, the complexification of a real connection on an $SO(r)$ bundle induces a natural map $M(k, SO(2n)) \to M(2k, SU(2n))$. Locally, the composition of these two maps

$$M(k, SU(n)) \to M(k, SO(2n)) \to M(2k, SU(2n))$$

is given by

$$\gamma = \gamma_1 + i\gamma_2 \;\; \mapsto \;\; \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_1 & -\gamma_2 \\ \gamma_2 & \gamma_1 \end{pmatrix} \;\; \mapsto \;\; \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_1 & -\gamma_2 \\ \gamma_2 & \gamma_1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where the last matrix is viewed as taking values in the Lie algebra $su(2n)$. Since there is a $g \in SU(2n)$ such that

$$g^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_1 & -\gamma_2 \\ \gamma_2 & \gamma_1 \end{pmatrix} g = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_1 + i\gamma_2 & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma_1 - i\gamma_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{\gamma} \end{pmatrix},$$

the connections represented by these matrix-valued one forms are gauge equivalent. Thus, the composition $M(k, SU(n)) \to M(k, SO(2n)) \to M(2k, SU(2n))$ sends the equivalence class of the selfdual connection ω on the bundle E to the equivalence class of the selfdual connection $\omega \oplus \bar{\omega}$ on the bundle $E \oplus \bar{E}$.

Now consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M(k, SU(n)) & \stackrel{\partial_{SU(n)}}{\longrightarrow} & BU(k) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ M(k, SO(2n)) & \stackrel{\partial_{SO(2n)}}{\longrightarrow} & BSp(k) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ M(2k, SU(2n)) & \stackrel{\partial_{SU(2n)}}{\longrightarrow} & BU(2k). \end{array}$$

By the definition of $\partial_{SO(2n)}$, the bottom square homotopy commutes. Since the map $BSp(k) \to BU(2k)$ induces an injection in homology, the top square will induce a

commutative diagram in homology if the large outer "square "

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M(k,SU(n)) & \stackrel{\partial_{SU(n)}}{\longrightarrow} & BU(k) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ M(2k,SU(2n)) & \stackrel{\partial_{SU(2n)}}{\longrightarrow} & BU(2k) \end{array}$$

commutes in homology. Note that on the level of bundles the right vertical map sends a complex vector bundle F to the complex bundle $F \oplus \bar{F}$. Let $\eta(r, SU(l))$ denote the Dirac bundle classified by the map $\partial_{SU(l)} : M(r, SU(l)) \to BU(r)$. The proof of the lemma will be complete if the composition

$$M(k,SU(n)) \longrightarrow M(2k,SU(2n)) \stackrel{\partial_{SU(2n)}}{\longrightarrow} BU(2k)$$

classifies the bundle $\eta(k, SU(n)) \oplus \bar{\eta}(k, SU(n))$. There is a natural bundle map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \eta(k,SU(n)) \oplus \bar{\eta}(k,SU(n)) & \longrightarrow & \eta(2k,SU(2n)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ M(k,SU(n)) & \longrightarrow & M(2k,SU(2n)) \end{array}$$

defined by

$$[(\omega, \psi_1 \oplus \psi_2)] \mapsto [(\omega \oplus \bar{\omega}, \psi_1 \oplus \bar{\psi}_2)]$$

where $\bar{\psi}_2$ is the section ψ_2 viewed as a section of the conjugate bundle. Since ψ is in the kernel of D^+_ω if and only if $\bar{\psi}$ is in the kernel of $D^+_{\bar{\omega}}$, this bundle map is a surjection on fibers. Since the fibers have the same dimension, this map is an isomorphism. Thus $\eta(k, SU(n)) \oplus \bar{\eta}(k, SU(n))$ is isomorphic to the pullback of $\eta(2k, SU(2n))$, and the lemma is proved.

The proof of theorem 4 is now easy. In [S] section 5, it was shown that the map

$$(\partial_{SU(n)})_* : H_*(M(k,SU(n))) \longrightarrow H_*(BU(k))$$

is a surjection through dimension $2n-4$. Furthermore, we know that the map $BU(k) \to BSp(k)$ induces a surjection in homology. Thus, by the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_*(M(k,SU(n))) & \stackrel{(\partial_{SU(n)})_*}{\longrightarrow} & H_*(BU(k)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H_*(M(k,SO(2n))) & \stackrel{(\partial_{SO(2n)})_*}{\longrightarrow} & H_*(BSp(k)), \end{array}$$

$(\partial_{SO(2n)})_* : H_*(M(k, SO(2n)) \to H_*(BSp(k))$ must also be a surjection through this range. In particular, then, the limit map $\partial_{SO} : M(k, SO) \to BSp(k)$ is a homotopy equivalence.

References

[ADHM] M.F. Atiyah, V. G. Drinfeld, N.J. Hitchin and Y. I. Manin, *Construction of instantons*, Phys. Lett. A, 65 (1978), 185-187.

[AJ] M.F. Atiyah and J.D.S. Jones, *Topological aspects of Yang-Mills theory*, Comm. Math. Phys. 61 (1978), 97-118.

[AHS] M.F. Atiyah, N.J. Hitchin, and I.M. Singer, *Self-duality in four dimensional Riemannian Geometry*, Proc. of Royal Society London, A 362 (1978), 425-461.

[D] S.K. Donaldson, *Instantons and geometric invariant theory*, Comm. Math. Phys., 93 (1984), 453-460.

[K] F. Kirwan, *Geometric Invariant Theory and the Atiyah-Jones conjecture*, Proceedings of the Sophus Lie Memorial Conference (Oslo, 1992), editors O.A. Laudal and B. Jahren, Scandinavian University Press, 1994, 161-188.

[S] M. Sanders, *Classifying spaces and Dirac operators coupled to instantons*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society. To appear.

[Ti] Y. Tian, *The Atiyah-Jones Conjecture for Classical Groups*, preprint.

Mathematics Institute, University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 7AL, UNITED KINGDOM

E-mail address: norbs@maths.warwick.ac.uk

Department of Mathematics, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minneapolis, MN 55455

E-mail address: sanders@math.umn.edu